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Item 2 is for possible action. Items 1 and 3 through 11 are discussion items and no action can be taken.

Please be advised that the Transportation Resource Advisory Committee and Community Collaboration (TRAC) has the discretion to take items on the agenda out of order, combine two or more agenda items for consideration, remove an item from the agenda or delay discussion relating to an item on the agenda any time.

1. CONDUCT A COMMENT PERIOD FOR CITIZENS PARTICIPATION
2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: Meeting of October 11, 2018 (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)
3. RECEIVE AN OVERVIEW OF THE TRAC GOALS, FRAMEWORK, AND AGENDA
4. RECEIVE AN UPDATE OF MAJOR PROJECTS IN SOUTHERN NEVADA
5. RECEIVE AN UPDATE ON THE RESORT CORRIDOR
6. RECEIVE A FEDERAL UPDATE
7. RECEIVE A FINANCIAL OVERVIEW PRESENTATION REGARDING THE RTC TRANSIT SYSTEM
8. RECEIVE AN UPDATE ON THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA’S 2019 LEGISLATIVE SESSION
9. DISCUSS UPCOMING EVENTS
10. CONDUCT AN OPEN DISCUSSION ON TOPICS OF INTEREST AND REVIEW TOPICS FROM THE TRAC AGENDA
11. CONDUCT A COMMENT PERIOD FOR CITIZENS PARTICIPATION

During the initial Citizens Participation, any citizen in the audience may address the Committee on an item featured on the agenda. During the final Citizens Participation, any citizens in the audience may address the Committee on matters within the Committee’s jurisdiction, but not necessarily featured on the agenda. No vote can be taken on a matter not listed on the posted agenda; however, the Committee can direct that the matter be placed on a future agenda.

Each citizen must be recognized by the Chair. The citizen is then asked to approach the microphone at the podium, to state his or her name, and to spell the last name for the record. The Chair may limit remarks to three minutes’ duration, if such remarks are disruptive to the meeting or not within the Committee’s jurisdiction.

The Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada keeps the official record of all proceedings of the meeting. In order to maintain a complete and accurate record, copies of documents used during presentations should be submitted to the Recording Secretary.

The Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada appreciates the time citizens devote to be involved in this important process.

In compliance with Nevada Revised Statute 241.035(4), the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada shall create an audio and/or video recording of the meeting and retain such recording(s) for the required period of time.

The Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada Meeting Room and Conference Room are accessible to the disabled. Assistive listening devices are available for the hearing impaired. A sign language interpreter for the deaf will be made available with a forty-eight hour advance request to the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada offices. Phone: (702) 676-1500  TDD (702) 676-1834

Any action taken on these items is advisory to the Regional Transportation Commission.
**REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA**

**AGENDA ITEM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metropolitan Planning Organization</th>
<th>Transit</th>
<th>Administration and Finance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**SUBJECT:** CITIZENS PARTICIPATION

**PETITIONER:** TINA QUIGLEY, GENERAL MANAGER
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA

**RECOMMENDATION BY PETITIONER:**
THAT THE TRANSPORTATION RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND COMMUNITY COLLABORATION CONDUCT A COMMENT PERIOD FOR CITIZENS PARTICIPATION

**GOAL:** ENHANCE PUBLIC AWARENESS AND SUPPORT OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

None

**BACKGROUND:**

In accordance with State of Nevada Open Meeting Law, the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada Transportation Resource Advisory Committee and Community Collaboration (Committee) shall invite interested persons to make comments. For the initial Citizens Participation, the public should address items on the current agenda. For the final Citizens Participation, interested persons may make comments on matters within the Committee’s jurisdiction, but not necessarily on the current agenda. No action can be taken on any matter discussed under this item, although the Committee can direct that it be placed on a future agenda.

Respectfully submitted,

TINA QUIGLEY
General Manager

TRAC Item #1
December 6, 2018
Non-Consent
MINUTES
TRANSPORTATION RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE
AND COMMUNITY COLLABORATION
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA
OCTOBER 11, 2018

These minutes are prepared in compliance with NRS 241.035. Text is in summarized rather than verbatim format. For complete contents, please refer to meeting recordings on file at the Regional Transportation Commission.
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CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Don Snyder, Chair, called the meeting to order at 2:33 p.m. in the Colorado River Room of the Southern Nevada Water Authority offices located in the Molasky Corporate Center.

MEMBERS PRESENT:
Don Snyder, Chair, Community Advocate
Mauricia Baca, Outside Las Vegas Foundation
MaryKaye Cashman, Cashman Equipment
Cindy Creighton, Nevada Taxpayers Association
Ken Evans, Urban Chamber of Commerce
Lee Farris, LandWell Development Company
Betsy Fretwell, Switch
David Frommer, University of Nevada, Las Vegas
Peter Guzman, Latin Chamber of Commerce
Warren Hardy, Associated Builders and Contractors
Lynn Hunsinger, Nevadans for the Common Good
Alan Jeskey, AJB General Contractor
Brian Knudsen, BP2 Solutions
Ram Kumar, Kumar Consulting Services
Karlos LaSane, Caesars Entertainment
Robert List, Kolesar & Latham
Jim Long, Sun City Anthem Resident
Andy Maggi, Nevada Conservation League
Erin McMullen, Boyd Gaming
Mike Mixer, Colliers International and NAIOP
Paul Moradkhan, Metro Chamber of Commerce
Jean Peyton, Blindconnect
Valarie Segarra, Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority
Mike Shohet, Nevada Hand
Rick Smith, Henderson Development Association
Judy Stokey, NV Energy
Danny Thompson, Labor Consultant
Virginia Valentine, Nevada Resort Association
Bryan Wachter, Retail Association of Nevada
Matt Walker, Southern Nevada Homebuilders Association
Tom Warden, The Howard Hughes Corporation

TRAC  Item #2
December 6, 2018
MEMBERS ABSENT:
Paul Enos, Nevada Trucking Association
Barry Gold, AARP
Keith Lynam, Greater Las Vegas Association of Realtors
Tommy Morley, Laborers Local 872
Jonas Peterson, Las Vegas Global Economic Alliance
Todd Sklamberg, Sunrise Hospital
Sean Stewart, Nevada Contractors Association
Jim Sullivan, Culinary Union 226

MEETING FACILITATOR:
Helen Foley, Faiss Foley Warren

RTC STAFF:
Tina Quigley, General Manager
M.J. Maynard, Deputy General Manager
Fred Ohene, Deputy General Manager
David Swallow, Senior Director of Engineering and Technology
Angela Castro, Senior Director of Government Affairs and Media Relations
Dan Howland, Director of Paratransit and Specialized Services
Craig Raborn, Director of Metropolitan Planning Organization
David Clyde, Associate General Counsel
Catherine Lu, Manager of Government Affairs and Media Relations and Marketing
Marin DuBois, Management Analyst

CONSULTANT TEAM:
Guy Hobbs, Hobbs Ong & Associates

INTERESTED PARTIES:
Nancy Amundsen, Clark County
Ann Barnett, Nevada Contractors Association
Kami Dempsey, Accretive Consulting
Miles Dickson, JABarrett Company
Mike Hudgeon, City of North Las Vegas
Bob Leuck, Clark County Public Works
Erin McMullen, Boyd Gaming
Adrienne Packer, Nevada Department of Transportation
Joey Paskey, City of Las Vegas
Alyssa Rodriguez, City of Henderson
Kylie Rowe, Las Vegas Global Economic Alliance
Arnold Stalk, Veterans Village
Item:
1. CONDUCT A COMMENT PERIOD FOR CITIZENS PARTICIPATION

Comments:
No comments were made.

Motion:
No motion was necessary.

Vote/Summary:
No vote was taken.

Item:
2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: Meeting of June 7, 2018 (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

Comments:
No comments were made.

Motion:
Mr. Tommy Morley made a motion to approve the meeting minutes.

Vote/Summary:
27 Ayes. 0 Nays. The motion carried.
Ayes: Mauricia Baca, MaryKaye Cashman, Cindy Creighton, Lee Farris, David Frommer, Peter Guzman, Warren Hardy, Lynn Hunsinger, Alan Jeskey, Brian Knudsen, Ram Kumar, Karlos LaSane, Robert List, Jim Long, Andy Maggi, Erin McMullen, Mike Mixer, Jean Peyton, Mike Shohet, Rick Smith, Don Snyder, Judy Stokey, Danny Thompson, Virginia Valentine, Bryan Wachtler, Matt Walker, Tom Warden
Nays: None
Absent: Paul Enos, Ken Evans, Betsy Fretwell, Barry Gold, Keith Lynam, Paul Moradkhan, Tommy Morley, Jonas Peterson, Valarie Segarra, Todd Sklamberg, Sean Stewart, Jim Sullivan

Item:
3. RECEIVE AN OVERVIEW OF THE TRAC GOALS, FRAMEWORK AND AGENDA, AND INTRODUCE NEW MEMBERS

Comments:
Chair Don Snyder discussed receiving an overview of the Transportation Resource Advisory Committee and Community Collaboration (TRAC or Committee) goals, framework, and agenda, and introducing new members. He began by thanking the TRAC members for being flexible in their attendance, noting that the Committee was entering a new phase of the TRAC meetings. He explained that moving forward, Ms. Helen Foley, Faiss Foley Warren, would be facilitating the meetings. Chair Snyder then introduced each of the new TRAC members and welcomed them to the Committee.

From there, Ms. Foley provided an overview of the TRAC goals, which included:
- Learn about Southern Nevada’s mobility challenges, new developments, and opportunities;
- Learn about smart communities, emerging technologies, and how these efforts can impact and improve mobility, accessibility, and safety in Southern Nevada;
- Obtain input and feedback and make recommendations on how to best address and prioritize mobility solutions.

Next, Ms. Foley reviewed the future meeting framework as follows:
- Meeting 7 – December 6, 2018 – Review On Board concept development, analysis and feedback, update on Las Vegas Convention Center (LVCC) expansion and mobility plan, Las Vegas Monorail update, Las Vegas Raiders update, and resort corridor update
- Meeting 8 – January/February 2019 – Review On Board draft recommendations, community survey feedback, and presentations from the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT)
• February to June 2019 – Legislative session and On Board community conversations and presentations
• Meeting 9 – June 2019 – On Board final recommendations and costs; next steps

Ms. Tina Quigley, General Manager for the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada, suggested that it could be beneficial to have a representative from Brightline present on the high-speed rail project. Ms. Foley agreed that this would be a good idea. She then highlighted that topics in the meeting agenda included a paratransit, seniors, and veterans services overview, an On Board – Your Future Transit Plan update, a 2019 Legislative Session overview, and information on upcoming events.

**Motion:**
No motion was necessary.

**Vote/Summary:**
No vote was taken.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>RECEIVE AN OVERVIEW OF PARATRANSIT, SENIORS AND VETERANS SERVICES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Comments:**
Following a detailed PowerPoint presentation [attached], Mr. Dan Howland, Director of Paratransit and Specialized Services for the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada (RTC), began by highlighting data of the specialized services provided by the RTC. He recalled that Southern Nevada has 2.1 million residents and welcomes 41 million tourists annually. He stated that it is the RTC’s responsibility to provide transportation options to these individuals, including specialized services for residents, visitors, senior citizens, and veterans.

**Paratransit Service**
Mr. Howland then described paratransit service. He noted that paratransit is an unfunded federal mandate, meaning that paratransit services must be offered in the same areas as traditional transit services. Eligible riders must demonstrate physical or cognitive barriers to traditional transit ridership. This process includes an interview and occasionally a physical assessment to determine whether paratransit services are applicable. In fiscal year 2017, he said, the RTC provided 1.3 million rides to annual customers at a total cost of $46 million, which averages to $32.00 per ride. The customer is responsible for paying $3.00 of this for each ride.

Mr. Howland displayed maps of the paratransit service area, pointing out that the paratransit service area extends ¾ of a mile beyond the fixed route service. He also explained the scheduling procedures and pickup windows inherent for the service. Typically, paratransit trips must be scheduled in advance, but due to flexibility restrictions of this system, the RTC is looking for new solutions.

**Paratransit Ride On-Demand Pilot**
To add flexibility and freedom to paratransit riders, Mr. Howland continued, the RTC partnered with the Transportation Network Company (TNC) Lyft for an On-Demand Pilot (Pilot) program in February 2018. Lyft offered its mobile app as a channel for on demand ride scheduling. Customers without access to a smartphone are able to schedule a ride with Lyft via the RTC Customer Service department. Mr. Howland added that the RTC also approved a partnership with a transportation company, Tango Logistics (Tango), to provide Wheelchair Accessible Vehicle (WAV) capacity to supplement the Lyft Pilot. To date, the RTC has provided over 6,000 trips via the Pilot, with a total cost savings of almost 50 percent. To provide more detail on these savings, Mr. Howland remarked that these on-demand paratransit rides are subsidized only up to 10 miles of each trip, which is the average length of a paratransit trip. The rider is then responsible for the overages. In the Pilot, the RTC has only had to subsidize an average of $15.00 per
ride, compared to the $32.00 of the traditional paratransit service. He clarified that the RTC subsidy funds come from sales tax.

Mr. Ken Evans asked what percentage of riders require the WAV transportation. Mr. Howland replied that it is usually an 80/20 split, with 20 percent of riders requiring a WAV. Mr. Evans asked if the Tango program is on-demand as well. Mr. Howland confirmed that it is, as it was built into the Lyft on-demand service. Ms. Quigley clarified that any riders who do not need wheelchair accessibility will continue to book rides through Lyft, with only those requiring additional accommodation to go through Tango. Overall, Mr. Howland said the On-Demand pilot was successful thus far, and as the Tango partnership increases, more data on the services will be coming in.

Mr. Bryan Wachter asked if the end goal is to outsource the entire paratransit system. Mr. Howland said this is not the goal, as the RTC intends to provide paratransit service. However, this will help offload some of the capacity and increase flexibility.

Mr. Ram Kumar noted that most paratransit vehicles are aftermarket retrofitted vehicles. He asked if there are any solutions to address serviceability of these vehicles, as well as incorporate autonomous vehicles into the system. Ms. Quigley answered that the autonomous vehicle discussion was still a ways off, but the RTC is staying tuned into the industry.

Continuing, Mr. Howland discussed the RTC’s Mobility Training Center (MTC) and how it is an important part of the services provided by the RTC. He explained that the MTC houses several essential services, including:

- Paratransit certification and eligibility;
- Mobility training with anyone who would like additional training using our fixed-route system;
- Mobility training with Clark County School District students with disabilities; and
- Partnership with Angela’s House, the only blindness skills training facility in Nevada. This is made possible due to the RTC’s long partnership with local nonprofit Blindconnect.

From there, Mr. Howland played a brief video underscoring a success story of how the RTC’s services provided in the MTC helped a paratransit rider to use and access fixed route transit services.

**Senior Transportation Services**

Next, Mr. Howland reviewed the specialized transportation services provided to senior riders. The RTC provides half-price fares on fixed route services through reduced fare ID programs. This includes RTC “Silver Star” routes that bring senior riders to essential businesses and services throughout the Las Vegas Valley. In Fiscal Year 2017, the RTC provided 58,000 senior trips at a cost of nearly $800,000.00. He noted that this service is not a federal mandate and is provided by the RTC at cost.

**Veterans Services**

Mr. Howland described how the RTC also provides specialized services for veterans, including through the Veterans Medical Transportation Network (VMTN). The VMTN provides free rides to and from medical appointments for veterans and their families. Services also include a veteran-specific route called the Downtown and Veterans Medical Center Express (DVX) that transports veterans from the Bonneville Transit Center to the VA Hospital in the north. This is another program that the RTC provides at cost.

Mr. Howland noted that both senior riders and veterans can receive reduced fare ID cards that allow them to reduce fixed route ridership costs by half. All of these services fall under the On Board umbrella, and across all of these services, the RTC has provided 19 presentations and received 615 survey responses.
These responses provided feedback that included the desire for shorter wait times and other items. These responses will be used to learn how to improve transit efforts in future planning initiatives.

Mr. Matt Walker asked if the VA offers any reimbursements for additional services. Mr. Howland said it does not at the moment, but staff will watch for these possibilities for the future. Then, Mr. Ken Evans asked if it were possible to get the federal government to provide federal funds for veteran services. Mr. Howland said that it is possible, as this program started through federal funding. However, that federal support had since gone away.

Chair Don Snyder remarked that mobility needs are a common topic of discussion in the Transportation Resource Advisory Committee and Community Collaboration (TRAC or Committee) meetings. He reviewed how transit access can improve overall well-being of mobility-impaired individuals and stated that the upcoming insights from attending members will provide more perspectives on some of these issues. He introduced Ms. Helen Foley, Meeting Facilitator, to kick off a panel discussion.

### Panel Discussion

Ms. Foley introduced the panel members and provided a brief background of each. The panel included Ms. Lynn Hunsinger, Nevadans for the Common Good and TRAC member; Ms. Jean Peyton, BlindConnect and TRAC member; and Dr. Arnold Stalk, Veterans Village.

From there, Ms. Foley turned the floor over to Ms. Hunsinger, asking her to explain the importance of transit services to the healthcare community. Ms. Hunsinger explained that transportation is a social determinant that is crucial to the overall health of the community, similar to housing or access to food. This is particularly important for certain populations in communities where there are limited healthcare and social support systems. Access to medical appointments, pharmacies, and other essential areas have a dramatic impact on a person’s ability to recover from health issues and receive the treatment they need.

Ms. Foley asked Ms. Hunsinger to explain further the challenges her clients experience when trying to access the healthcare system in regards to transit. Ms. Hunsinger replied that it is important to remember that, while the RTC is a leader in transportation in the area, it is not the only provider. She cited her Medicaid clients as an example of riders who have access to specific transit through a different provider. It is important to keep these options in mind, as these services are limited and specialized with varying restrictions. She tries to remind her clients of the options offered to them, including those by the RTC. Not having that access can be problematic.

Ms. Foley asked if these riders are comfortable using a mobile application. Ms. Hunsinger said that some are, but not all. Different clients have different challenges that must be considered. She praised the RTC’s efforts with the Pilot program and said that new opportunities for specifically impaired communities needed to be a priority.

From there, Ms. Foley addressed Ms. Peyton, who is a paratransit rider, asking her if she had tried the Pilot program. Ms. Peyton said she had and that she thought it was wonderful. A common challenge for paratransit riders is not being able to enjoy activities spontaneously, as rides have to be scheduled days in advance. The Pilot program solves this challenge and provides much needed flexibility.

Ms. Foley asked Ms. Peyton to expand on the services offered at the MTC. Ms. Peyton praised the RTC and Angela’s House partnership, adding that the only blindness skills training in Nevada is offered there. She emphasized that the primary staff are blind or legally blind themselves. This provides important perspectives for the training process to sight-impaired individuals. She went on to describe the programs,
noting there are 90 hours of training over a three week period that include activities of daily living, skills training, and how to access paratransit services. It also includes technology training, personal care training, advocacy, and braille training. At the end of the program, participants are better equipped to deal with disability challenges.

Next, Ms. Foley remarked on riders like Ms. Peyton, who ride both fixed route and paratransit, and how they decide which transit option to use. Ms. Peyton responded that the decision largely has to do with environmental factors as well as the number of transfers that a route has. Sight-impaired riders have specific challenges, such as needing a bench or other landmark to find specific stops. She elaborated on how if a bus stop is only marked by a sign on a pole, it is difficult for a visually impaired person to find. In those cases, she does not use fixed route. Then, she expressed her love of the Strip route’s buses, saying she likes to take visitors on those buses when showing them the area.

Ms. Foley then directed her attention to Dr. Stalk. She asked how the veterans living at Veterans Village get around Southern Nevada. Dr. Stalk provided a brief background on Veterans Village, noting it is a 24/7/365 provider of housing, emergency housing, and assisted living. It houses over 400 people per night. He noted that the RTC services are an important lifeline for this area, particularly in situations where urgent care is needed. He added that there is much ridesharing done through TNCs, though he preferred the security and reliability of RTC services that do not require them to raise funds. When riders need help, the veterans and the staff coordinate the rides as needed.

Ms. Foley asked Dr. Stalk which transit areas could be improved. Dr. Stalk said the issues are not as much with the system as they are with funds. Finding funding is always a challenge, and Veterans Village has to look to the private sector. Public Private Partnerships are extremely important.

Mr. Peter Guzman praised the efforts of Dr. Stalk as well as the RTC for what they are doing. Chair Snyder noted that turning to the private sector is a great idea as it offers more avenues for funding than the federal government might. Dr. Stalk added that people commonly think of veterans as former military, but the term also includes veteran families, relatives, and many others who served, through Veterans Village. He noted that the facility houses veterans who served as far back as World War II.

Chair Snyder thanked the panelists for their comments, adding that the members are meeting a real need in the community. However, there are many challenges to overcome, and the questions are important to address. It was important to think outside the box in terms of funding and open up the dialogues moving forward. He agreed that creative Public Private Partnerships will be an important step, citing Lyft and Tango as two good examples. Budget conversations will occur later that will shine more light on the financial issues being faced by the RTC. However, these new options could help the constraint issues. He noted that new technologies provide new opportunities, and although they also provide new challenges, they are an important part of providing quality services to the community.

**Discussion**

Mr. Evans brought the discussion back to the question of federal funding, noting that if the federal government is not solicited for funds, it will require a $2 million dollar raise to provide the veterans services. He knows of a potential private organization that has expressed interest in funding veterans services and asked if that is an avenue he should begin exploring.

Ms. Quigley answered that it is an important question to ask and provided an overview of where the RTC sits in terms of available funding. She reviewed how the TRAC members had discussed the future of paratransit and knew well of how revenues for the RTC have been dropping over time. The RTC is
reaching a critical point in terms of revenue and ridership, with projections estimating that the RTC might be upside-down by 2023. She added that annual revenues generated by fixed route on the Strip had totaled $6 million in years past, but would be only $1 million this year. By fiscal year 2019, the RTC will not be able to expand its services due to these drops. She described conversations she had recently with the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). The FTA stated that it would not be funding high-capacity transit (HCT) systems or new programs more than 30 percent. This presents obvious barriers to development. She also addressed the challenges of emerging technologies and how these could be planned into the RTC programming. She said, based on all this, there are many obstacles to overcome for the future of transit. It will be crucial to explore new partnership opportunities to guarantee the continuation of services, as existing strategies are struggling.

Chair Snyder elaborated on several of these points, first agreeing that Ms. Quigley is well tuned in to these issues, both locally and nationally. Other peer areas that had been recently assessed by the RTC – such as the HCT system in Salt Lake City, Utah, which was completed with 80 percent federal funding. This spoke to the current state of transit that the RTC is facing. He also noted that the growing impact of the TNCs is creating pressure. It is important to have these conversations and bring these issues to light, particularly for TRAC and the wealth of experience its members have. As TRAC moves forward, there will be more opportunities to bring more partnerships to the table and secure funding for the services that needed to be completed today, as well as services that could be included in the future.

Ms. Hunsinger shared her appreciation for Ms. Quigley sharing her honest concerns with the TRAC members. It was clear there are serious issues to be addressed and that many agencies are struggling. The lack of expansion opportunity is problematic as the existing system already excludes some populations, and the idea that there is no room for future expansion is troubling. She agreed that partnerships are the key to improving the state of the community, particularly as Southern Nevada has the second-fastest growing senior community in the country. The need will be increasing substantially over the next few years. It will become crucial to address the areas where these populations live and that everyone needs to start thinking about what they want their community to be. Everyone needs to be realistic about what is happening and what real actions could be taken to improve things. Chair Snyder agreed, stating it is clear that things are not business as usual and that leadership is crucial in moving things forward.

Mr. Ram Kumar commented on how transportation across the country is being affected due to policies of various government organizations. He agreed that it is important to address the needs of the vulnerable populations, but noted it will be important to examine the issues of value capture and see who is benefitting most from the services, such as corporations and companies, and see what they could contribute. He was unsure of how this would work from a legislative perspective, but it is a big issue to address. Being limited to 30 percent federal funding creates serious restrictions. He also brought up his own struggles with vision impairment and the need for services for those who have suddenly lost their ability to see. These populations face challenges to mobility that need to be addressed. Overall, it will be important to work toward a culture where the burden of transport does not fall entirely on agencies like the RTC. He reviewed the need to further test these pilot programs and look for opportunities to build these programs. Chair Snyder agreed with Mr. Kumar’s assessment and said that TRAC had to keep perspective about where the RTC is and where it can go. He said it would be beneficial to have discussions that open up new possibilities.

**Motion:**
No motion was necessary.

**Vote/Summary:**
No vote was taken.
**Item:**
5. RECEIVE AN UPDATE ON THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA’S “ON BOARD – YOUR FUTURE TRANSIT PLAN” INITIATIVE

**Comments:**
Following a detailed PowerPoint presentation [attached], Mr. Craig Raborn, Director of Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada (RTC), provided an update of “On Board – Your Future Transit Plan” (On Board) initiative. He began by giving a brief overview of the On Board plan. He thanked the Transportation Resource Advisory Committee and Collaboration (TRAC) members for their input thus far and tried to put the On Board plan into context of overall discussions within the RTC. On Board, he continued, is meant to act as a long-term planning effort for how the future of transportation planning should be. It was designed to provide a roadmap for the RTC and how it can provide services to people at an affordable cost.

Mr. Raborn stated that Southern Nevada is growing fast, with $7 billion in economic development throughout the area. Las Vegas also had the highest increase in home prices across the country, a clear indicator that people are moving to the area. Job growth reached 3.4 percent, ranking it sixth among the 30 largest metro areas in the country. Mr. Raborn listed these statistics as evidence of growth in the area and the challenges the RTC faces in addressing this growth. This includes how rapid population growth generates traffic and congestion; a growing economy will change where and how people work; and more people will have challenges meeting everyday transportation needs. To respond to these challenges, the RTC and the community as a whole needs to look forward and assess current needs as well as future needs. On Board is how the RTC is accomplishing this, providing a roadmap for the next 20 years.

Mr. Raborn reviewed several key aspects to On Board. He said that On Board examines traditional transit improvements, high-capacity transit (HCT) options, and emerging transit technology opportunities. Each of these inputs ties back in to how people can be better moved to where they need to go. He went through and provided details on each.

**HCT Options**
Mr. Raborn explained that HCT involves creating faster, more efficient, and more reliable transit services in busier, denser traffic corridors. HCT moves people more efficiently, and thus, is a significant component to how to improve the transit system moving forward. Investments here would provide the biggest benefits to the Las Vegas Valley (Valley), including potential economic investments, attracting future users, and generally creating a system of safe, fast transportation. On Board will examine the potential for each HCT option.

**Traditional Transit Improvement**
On Board also looks at where, depending on resources, demand, and need, enhancements to the current transit system could be implemented, including more frequent routes, faster routes, and reliability for workers.

**Emerging Transit Technology**
Finally, On Board will examine how emerging transportation technologies will enhance the community’s overall mobility with the goals of improving customer service and reducing operating costs. These options include autonomous vehicles, streamlined fare payments, transit signal priority, and more. Many of these will be necessary to build the future system defined in the On Board plan.

**Community Conversation**
Mr. Raborn explained that a big part of On Board is opening up community conversations, including
getting feedback from community members about options and improvements that could be made. Many of these conversations have shaped On Board into what it is today.

**Corridor Analysis and Planning Phases**

Next, Mr. Raborn explained that part of this process involves a large-scale project assessing the entire universe of corridors that exist in the Valley. The RTC team established specific criteria and assessed each of these corridors on their potential capacity for HCT and traditional transit improvements, with the goal of understanding where to best allocate improvement resources. After nearly a year of analysis, this is in the planning phase of the assessment. This includes taking feedback from key stakeholders in the RTC Technical Advisory Groups (TAG) as well as other RTC agencies to learn what the system should look like. Mr. Raborn detailed this process, saying peer assessments on several other cities were completed to solicit their insights as well. All of this information was used to help develop conceptual plans for how each of these systems might look in the Valley. These processes provide great insights into how mobility can be enhanced throughout the Valley. Mr. Raborn recalled that several work groups focused on this area and reviewed various investment scenarios that could map out possible networks that may work, based on how much funding is available. These scenarios would form the basis of future assessment and planning efforts moving forward.

**Project Timeline**

Mr. Raborn noted that On Board is currently in the concept plan phase of the project. Moving forward, there will be two more major steps over the next year - developing a draft plan and incorporating final recommendations and cost estimates into a final plan. He stated that these two phases will be completed throughout the course of 2019.

**Vision and Values Survey**

Mr. Raborn emphasized the importance of community feedback to On Board, and the efforts being made to solicit this feedback. Part of this is the vision and values survey. This is an online survey consisting of about a dozen questions, including demographic categories to help the RTC assess the data. The survey takes about three to six minutes to complete and will provide valuable data on the community’s priorities for the area. He said that more information on this survey will be sent to the members with the goal of having them disseminate the information to those in their agencies.

Chair Snyder thanked Mr. Raborn and agreed that these processes are crucial to making good decisions in these areas. He suggested that the members begin the meeting’s next panel discussion and introduced Ms. Helen Foley, Meeting Facilitator, to lead the discussion.

**Panel Discussion**

Ms. Foley described how this panel was meant to give TRAC members a chance to discuss mobility and the needs of their own organizations. She introduced each of the panel members, which included Mr. Andy Maggi, Nevada Conservation League and TRAC member; Mr. David Frommer, University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV) and TRAC member; and Mr. Brian Knudsen, BP2 Solutions and TRAC member.

First, Ms. Foley asked Mr. Knudsen how the homeless get around. Mr. Knudsen stated that it is important to understand how people became homeless. He explained homelessness is a result of issues related to a lack of transportation or access to necessary services. So, when looking at how homeless people get around, it often relates to public funding sources for transportation services that could bring these populations to the areas they need. He cited Medicaid transportation as one example, as well as various charity-based transportation systems. His own organization provides bus passes to homeless populations
Ms. Foley noted that per an outside discussion, she understood that many people prefer to use gas cards to arrange transportation on their own. Mr. Knudsen explained that this involves addressing needs of the homeless and the working poor and how they are different. The working poor often have multiple jobs and a lack of resources available to access these jobs. This means that many of these individuals’ biggest priority is not food, but is being able to get to these jobs on time as needed. Buses do not always provide this security, so gas cards provide flexibility.

Then, Ms. Foley addressed Mr. Frommer, asking how UNLV students and faculty use transportation. Mr. Frommer stated that UNLV has about 30,500 students as of last fall and about 4,300 faculty and staff. Based on the data, about 90 percent of them access the campus via private vehicles. However, this population is ripe for transit use, with lots of potential to bring them into the fold. Ms. Foley asked about how parking affects UNLV and whether parking lots block opportunities for new developments. Mr. Frommer said they do and that every site has an opportunity cost. Improving transit access improves land use since there would be less need for these expansive lots. It is better to utilize current land than to buy new land.

Ms. Foley then asked how much interest the students and faculty have for HCT options. Mr. Frommer said he thinks the interest is high, as long as it is connected to a larger transit network that allows them access to numerous amenities. Many of UNLV’s student population are commuters. Combined with traffic from the medical district, this provides unique challenges to transit and providing services, particularly when it comes to people trying to access training opportunities for future careers.

Next, Ms. Foley addressed Mr. Maggi and asked about the Nevada Conservation League’s position on transit. Mr. Maggi said that transit issues have a lot to do with quality of life and the health of the environment. All these issues are connected. Mobility questions regarding school, work, and entertainment are crucial to the health and happiness of residents. Communities that were designed to favor single-rider transportation (such as cars) usually have worse pollution problems and more congestion. Building widespread mobility systems create more options and increase the rate of participation in alternative modes of transit.

Chair Snyder thanked the panel members again for their participation before closing the discussion.

**Motion:**
No motion was necessary.

**Vote/Summary:**
No vote was taken.

---

**Item:**
6. RECEIVE AN UPDATE ON THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA’S 2019 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

**Comments:**
Following a detailed PowerPoint presentation [attached], Ms. Angela Castro, Senior Director of Government Affairs and Media Relations for the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada (RTC), provided an update on the 2019 Legislative Session (Session). She began by stating that the Session begins on February 4th, 2019. As of September 28, 2018, there had been 528 bill draft requests (BDR), with the RTC actively tracking 89 of them. The RTC had no direct legislative asks in this Session. However, she said RTC staff is closely monitoring three pieces of proposed legislation, including:

- Laughlin Fuel Revenue Indexing (FRI) Exemption – Led by the Laughlin Economic Development Corporation, this asks that Laughlin be exempted from FRI as 90 percent of gas sold to residents was purchased in Arizona. This solution would create a voucher system for Laughlin’s five gas...
stations to be exempt from the FRI tax.

- Electric Vehicle Fee – Led by the Southern Nevada Transportation Committee, this bill includes a short-term fix mandating an electric vehicle fee as well as a long-term fix that may include legislation to commission a study to explore best practices for imposing these fees, such as a vehicle miles traveled (VMT) option.

Innovation and Technology Procurement – Led by the City of Las Vegas, this involves amending procurement legislation to make it easier to acquire innovative technologies. Suggestions include increasing the limits of competitive bidding in technology as well as adding items to the competitive bidding exception list.

Ms. Virginia Valentine asked about the Laughlin FRI exemption. She wondered if this means a collateral reduction in spending in Laughlin. Ms. Tina Quigley, General Manager for the RTC, said staff was unsure, as the legislative language was not yet available. However, staff would be monitoring it.

Ms. Quigley agreed that the technology procurement is important as the current federal administration will be transitioning money away from current transit funding. The legislation could help make the area more competitive for the new efforts.

Chair Don Snyder mentioned the electric vehicle tax, noting that electric vehicles use the same roads as gas-powered vehicles, so it may be good to have them contribute funding in some way.

Mr. Ram Kumar brought up two issues. The first involved tying revenue to a reducing use of a commodity like fuel. He said that with fuel use declining, options like the electric vehicle fee could be applied to other areas, such as implementing a VMT fee on a broader scale. Chair Snyder agreed and said these options are changing the funding dynamics of transit issues. Mr. Kumar also mentioned the procurement of a technology bill, noting it had been a challenge in the past. It will be important to procure certain types of technology regardless of the source, as procurement source will become a more important issue as these options expand.

Mr. Rick Smith brought up the Laughlin legislation, asking what precedent exists for this type of voucher system. Ms. Quigley said these are good questions that had not been explored in many discussions. Ms. Castro agreed and staff would need to see the language before discussing it in more detail.

**Motion:**
No motion was necessary.

**Vote/Summary:**
No vote was taken.

---

**Item:**
7. DISCUSS UPCOMING EVENTS

**Comments:**
Following a detailed PowerPoint presentation [attached], Ms. Helen Foley, Meeting Facilitator, mentioned that the Governor’s Conference on Business was coming up on October 18, 2018. She noted that the theme was Urban Mobility and Smart Technology: On the Forefront. Ms. Tina Quigley, General Manager for the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada (RTC), would be participating as will representatives from AT&T and the Nevada Institute of Autonomous Systems. Ms. Quigley pointed out that Nevada is at the forefront of many of these conversations and has much to contribute to this type of conference. She noted that Nevada has been recognized at the national level on these topics. She encouraged everyone to attend.
Ms. Foley then announced the Successes and Insights on Downtown Las Vegas Mobility event sponsored by Zappos to be held on November 28, 2018. The event would feature Ms. Quigley, along with Dr. Joshua Schank from LA Metro and Ms. Deb Schrimmer, the Senior Manager of Transportation Policy for Lyft.

**Motion:**
No motion was necessary.

**Vote/Summary:**
No vote was taken.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item: 8. CONDUCT AN OPEN DISCUSSION ON TOPICS OF INTEREST AND REVIEW TOPICS FROM THE TRAC AGENDA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Comments:**
Chair Don Snyder conducted an open discussion on topics of interest and a review of topics from the Transportation Resource Advisory Committee and Community Collaboration (TRAC) agenda. He began by stating that the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada (RTC) had done a great job incorporating TRAC members into public engagement events, saying this was great for the community on the national and international levels. Nevada’s regional structure with the RTC is unique, so the RTC has many interesting perspectives to share. He encouraged TRAC members to visit and participate in as many of these events as possible.

Moving on, Chair Snyder opened the floor for discussion of any issues that attending members wanted to address. He quickly noted that budget conversations needed to be addressed further and said there should be a more detailed budget discussion during the next TRAC meeting. He added that Brightline should also make a presentation.

Mr. David Frommer stated that the conversations from the present meeting were great and provided great perspective on the current state of the transit industry. Public transportation is being pressed from multiple sides. He said it would be helpful to learn more about how other agencies work and are dealing with these challenges, particularly in the solutions they are implementing. Ms. Tina Quigley, General Manager for the RTC, agreed and said these same conversations are being had by agencies across the country. She said staff would come back to this in later discussions when more information is found.

Ms. Mauricia Baca stated that it would be interesting to hear more details about vehicle miles traveled ahead of the Legislative Session, particularly in how other regions are handling it. This is important for electric vehicles, hybrids, and other more fuel-efficient vehicles. Overall, it is important for all road users to contribute to the upkeep of the roads, including Transportation Network Companies (TNC) like Uber and Lyft who have increased road usage. Chair Snyder agreed and said these dialogues need to open up. It is important to understand the impacts of all of these issues and how these challenges can be addressed.

Mr. Rick Smith asked for more details on Ms. Quigley’s earlier comments about the declining ridership rates. Ms. Quigley replied that per projections, the RTC expected to make it to 2023 before they were upside-down. However, based on new information, she said this would occur next fiscal year. This meant that expanding coverage was out of the question, and that there may be cuts to service. Chair Snyder said that revenues and expenses were coming together, while the issues were technical, they were troubling issues that would need to be addressed.

Next, Mr. Mike Shohet brought up that it would be important to coordinate equity and inclusion in the improvements that are being made. Chair Snyder agreed and said that this is certainly being kept in mind.
Mr. Ram Kumar mentioned the topic of microtransit and asked how the RTC is integrating those options. He felt that how revenues are generated from these services should be examined and agreed that tax concepts like vehicle miles travelled create equity in that they apply to all vehicles, regardless of type. He also mentioned that the RTC should start using the term “mobility” more, as it is important to clarify what that means beyond the more general terms of vehicles or transit. Chair Snyder agreed and said this had been reviewed in the past, and there are ongoing efforts to add that into the lexicon.

Ms. Virginia Valentine stated that part of mobility involves eliminating congestion and that TNCs often have much “dead” time on the roads, which adds to congestion. She also mentioned that she saw a mobile billboard recently, a van with digital signage on top. She said this was a troublesome concept, as it was highly distracting. It would be good to discuss removing these options from the road, or at least require them to move slowly to keep people safe.

Governor Robert List said he would be interested in hearing about how the economy affects ridership. As wages go up, more people buy cars. He was curious if these trends affect the RTC’s overall ridership. Chair Snyder said that based on his review of preliminary numbers, he knew it was crucial to look at the impacts over the years. He agreed they are important and will be monitored. Mr. Kumar pointed out that as people move up in wealth, it generally correlates with more individual car ridership than public transit usage. The RTC will need to work on providing access to combat these concerns.

Ms. Betsy Fretwell stated the importance of exploring integrated digital mobility platforms to aid in resource optimization. These improvements take a long time, so it will be important to start the research and planning early. She clarified that she was referring to digital apps for fare payments, but also for backend control centers that allow the RTC to optimize routes on the fly and better route the systems. These technology platforms will allow the agency to optimize ridership in new ways. Ms. Quigley agreed, mentioning that she had recently met with Aptiv, a technology partner with Lyft. Riders using Lyft around the certain areas of the Resort Corridor have the option of requesting an autonomous vehicle, and the Aptiv representative had real data on the usage of this system. The more data they have, the better they will be able to manage the system.

Chair Snyder added that another discussion to have soon would be an update on the Las Vegas Monorail. Funding for this option was being secured to extend it to Mandalay Bay and then to McCarran International Airport. While it was not always a popular option, the impacts of these extensions could have implications for mobility. He said he would like to hear an update on this in conjunction with other transit updates occurring in the area. Per this discussion, Ms. Valentine disclosed to the members that she was on the board of the Las Vegas Monorail.

**Motion:**
No motion was necessary.

**Vote/Summary:**
No vote was taken.

**Item:**
9. **CONDUCT A COMMENT PERIOD FOR CITIZENS PARTICIPATION**

**Comments:**
No comments were made.

**Motion:**
No motion was necessary.

**Vote/Summary:**
No vote was taken.
ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 4:41 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

_________________
Marin DuBois, Recording Secretary

_________________
Marek Biernacinski, Transcription Secretary
CITIZENS PARTICIPATION
Item #2

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Item #3

TRAC GOALS, FRAMEWORK, AGENDA REVIEW & NEW MEMBER INTRODUCTIONS
NEW MEMBER INTRODUCTIONS

- LEE FARRIS, LandWell Development Company
- DAVID FROMMER, UNLV
- KARLOS LASANE, Caesars Entertainment
- ERIN MCMULLEN, Boyd Gaming
- MIKE SHO HET, Nevada HAND
- RICK SMITH, Henderson Development Association

COMMITTEE GOALS

- Learn about Southern Nevada’s mobility challenges, new developments and opportunities.
- Learn about smart communities, emerging technologies and how these efforts can impact and improve mobility, accessibility and safety in Southern Nevada.
- Obtain input and feedback and make recommendations on how to best address and prioritize mobility solutions.
MEETING FRAMEWORK

- **Meeting 7 – December 6, 2018** – Review On Board concept development, analysis and feedback, update on Las Vegas Convention Center (LVCC) expansion and mobility plan, Las Vegas Monorail update, Las Vegas Raiders update, and resort corridor update

- **Meeting 8 – January/February 2019** – Review On Board draft recommendations, community survey feedback and NDOT presentation

- **February to June 2019** – Legislative session and On Board community conversations and presentations

- **Meeting 9 – June 2019** – On Board final recommendations and costs; next steps

AGENDA REVIEW

- Paratransit, Seniors and Veterans Services Overview
- On Board Update
- 2019 Legislative Session
- Upcoming Events
PARATRANSIT, SENIORS AND VETERANS SERVICES OVERVIEW

PUBLIC TRANSIT TODAY

BUS SERVICE

PARATRANSIT

SENIORS

VETERANS
ParaTransit Service

1.3M Total Rides in Fiscal Year 2017

$46 Million Annual Cost for Service

Ride Fixed Route Free

ParaTransit Service Area Today

- Current Bus Routes
- Current ParaTransit Area
PARATRANSIT RIDE ON-DEMAND PILOT

“I am loving the freedom this program allows for. I love the professionalism of the drivers, and the caring and customer concern.”

MOBILITY TRAINING CENTER
SPECIALIZED SENIOR TRANSPORTATION SERVICES

58K Senior Trips
Fiscal Year 2017

$799,250 Annual Cost for Service

Reduced Fare ID Card

Silver Star Routes
12

SPECIALIZED VETERAN SERVICES

Veterans Medical Transportation Network (VMTN)

Downtown and Veterans Medical Center Express (DVX)

$2 million annual investment & 350,000 rides

Reduced Fare ID Card
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT UPDATE

- 19 events & presentations
- 615 survey responses

LYNN HUNSINGER, Nevadans for the Common Good
JEAN PEYTON, BlindConnect
DR. ARNOLD STALK, Veterans Village

TRAC PANEL DISCUSSION AND Q&A
ON BOARD UPDATE

WHY ON BOARD?
COMMUNITY GROWTH

RAIDERS STADIUM
$1.8 BILLION INVESTMENT

UNLV MEDICAL SCHOOL
$100 MILLION INVESTMENT

LAS VEGAS CONVENTION CENTER
$1.4 BILLION INVESTMENT

RESORTS WORLD
$4 BILLION INVESTMENT

HOME VALUE GROWTH

LAS VEGAS HOME-PRICE GAINS

1ST
IN THE UNITED STATES
EMPLOYMENT GROWTH

SOUTHERN NEVADA EMPLOYMENT GROWTH

6TH

IN THE UNITED STATES

OUR TRANSPORTATION FUTURE

on board

YOUR FUTURE TRANSIT PLAN
ON BOARD

WHERE YOU COME IN
ON BOARD
Emerging Transit Technology Opportunities

WHAT COULD ON BOARD DO?

Traditional Transit Improvements
High Capacity Transit Options
Emerging Transit Technology Opportunities
HIGH CAPACITY TRANSIT

High Capacity Corridors
Faster, More Efficient and Reliable
Development Opportunities

TRADITIONAL TRANSIT

Safer
More Accessible
More Reliable
EMERGING TRANSIT TECHNOLOGY

Technological Advances
Impacts on Mobility

COMMUNITY CONVERSATION

More than 16,000 surveys
Attended more than 170 events
90 speaking engagements
17 technical advisory group meetings
5 TRAC meetings
4 public meetings
ON BOARD

UNIVERSE OF CORRIDORS
CONCEPT PLANNING

Starting Points
• Low Scenario (50% of peers)
• Medium Scenario (peer average)
• High Scenario (150% of peers)

Assumptions
• Maryland Parkway
• Bus Service Enhancements

SCENARIO PLANNING
PROCESS TIMELINE

ON BOARD PROJECT TIMELINE

PLAN INTRODUCTION
Phase 1
Jul 2017 - Jul 2018

CONCEPT PLAN
Phase 2
Aug - Dec 2018

DRAFT PLAN
Phase 3
Jan - Jun 2019

FINAL PLAN
Phase 4
Jun - Nov 2019

2017  2018  2019

VISION & VALUES SURVEY

On Board: Your Future Transit Plan

Share your vision. Take the survey.
The Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada is developing a plan for personal mobility over the next 20 or more years, and needs your input. Solutions may include a range of transportation options, and your input matters. Your regional plan team called for improvements in traditional transit services, new high-capacity services such as light rail, and improvements to roads, sidewalks, and bicycle facilities.

Looking to the future, which transportation improvements are the most important? (Drag options to rank by importance)

1. Frequent and fast bus service
2. Well-maintained roads
3. Improved safety
4. Fewer traffic jams
5. Light rail
6. Preparing for self-driving vehicles
7. Improved sidewalks and trails
BE A PART OF OUR TRANSPORTATION FUTURE

OnBoardSurvey.org

TRAC PANEL DISCUSSION AND Q&A

- DAVID FROMMER, UNLV
- BRIAN KNUDSEN, BP2 SOLUTIONS and CEO Exchange
- ANDY MAGGI, Nevada Conservation League
2019 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

2019 LEGISLATIVE SESSION OVERVIEW

- 2019 Nevada Legislative session begins Feb. 4, 2019
- 528 BDRs submitted as of Sept. 28, 2018
- 89 BDRs being actively tracked by RTC Government Affairs Team as of Sept. 28, 2018
INDIRECT LEGISLATION

- Laughlin FRI Exemption
- Electric Vehicle Fee
  (Southern Nevada Forum priority)
- Innovation and Technology Procurement
  (Southern Nevada Forum priority)

RTC LEGISLATIVE TEAM MOVING FORWARD

- Continue to closely monitor all legislation potentially affecting RTC
- Continue to meet with elected officials, stakeholders, lobbyists, business leaders
Item #7 - Upcoming Events

GOVERNOR’S CONFERENCE ON BUSINESS 2018
October 18, 2018 | Rio All-Suite Hotel & Casino, Las Vegas

Breakout Session:
Urban Mobility and Smart Technology: On the Forefront

Nevada is taking the lead in the growing autonomous mobility industry. Learn how the industry is attracting business to our state, sprouting high tech development, and helping to diversify our economy.

Breakout Session Track 2- 10:00 am

Greg Belaus
AT&T

Tina Quigley
RTC

Dan Langford
GOED

Brett Kanda
NIAS

Moderator: Chris Walach, Executive Director, NIAS

To learn more about the panelists and topics for the breakout sessions, visit http://business.nv.gov/GCB/Home

Item #7 - Upcoming Events

Successes and Insights on Downtown Las Vegas Mobility
sponsored by Zappos

Wednesday, Nov. 28, 2018 at 5 p.m.
Location: Zappos HQ

Featuring:
Dr. Joshua Schank, Chief Innovation Officer, LA Metro
Tina Quigley, General Manager, RTC
Deb Schrimmer, Senior Manager of Transportation Policy, Lyft
OPEN DISCUSSION

FINAL CITIZENS PARTICIPATION
# AGENDA ITEM

**SUBJECT:** TRAC MEETING OVERVIEW

**PETITIONER:** TINA QUIGLEY, GENERAL MANAGER  
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA

**RECOMMENDATION BY PETITIONER:**  
THAT THE TRANSPORTATION RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND COMMUNITY COLLABORATION RECEIVE AN OVERVIEW OF THE TRAC GOALS, FRAMEWORK, AND AGENDA

**GOAL:** ENHANCE PUBLIC AWARENESS AND SUPPORT OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

None

**BACKGROUND:**

The Transportation Resource Advisory Committee and Community Collaboration (TRAC) will review and discuss the TRAC goals, framework and meeting 7 agenda.

Respectfully submitted,

TINA QUIGLEY  
General Manager


---

**TRAC Item #3**  
**December 6, 2018**  
Non-Consent
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA

AGENDA ITEM

Metropolitan Planning Organization [ ] Transit [ ] Administration and Finance [ X ]

SUBJECT: MAJOR PROJECT UPDATES

PETITIONER: TINA QUIGLEY, GENERAL MANAGER
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA

RECOMMENDATION BY PETITIONER:
THAT THE TRANSPORTATION RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND COMMUNITY COLLABORATION RECEIVE AN UPDATE OF MAJOR PROJECTS IN SOUTHERN NEVADA

GOAL: ENHANCE PUBLIC AWARENESS AND SUPPORT OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

FISCAL IMPACT:
None

BACKGROUND:
The Transportation Resource Advisory Committee and Community Collaboration (TRAC) will receive an update of major projects in Southern Nevada, including the Las Vegas Stadium and the Las Vegas Monorail.

Respectfully submitted,

TINA QUIGLEY
General Manager

Respectfully submitted,

TINA QUIGLEY
General Manager

TRAC Item #4
December 6, 2018
Non-Consent
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA

AGENDA ITEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metropolitan Planning Organization</th>
<th>Transit</th>
<th>Administration and Finance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUBJECT: RESORT CORRIDOR UPDATE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETITIONER: TINA QUIGLEY, GENERAL MANAGER</td>
<td>REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECOMMENDATION BY PETITIONER: THAT THE TRANSPORTATION RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND COMMUNITY COLLABORATION RECEIVE AN UPDATE ON THE RESORT CORRIDOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL: ENHANCE PUBLIC AWARENESS AND SUPPORT OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FISCAL IMPACT:

None

BACKGROUND:

The Transportation Resource Advisory Committee and Community Collaboration (TRAC) will receive an update on the Resort Corridor Feasibility Study from Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada (RTC) staff.

Respectfully submitted,

TINA QUIGLEY
General Manager

TRAC Item #5
December 6, 2018
Non-Consent
# Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada

## Agenda Item

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metropolitan Planning Organization</th>
<th>Transit</th>
<th>Administration and Finance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subject:</strong> Federal Update</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Petitioner:** Tina Quigley, General Manager  
Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada  |         |                           |
| **Recommendation by Petitioner:** That the Transportation Resource Advisory Committee and Community Collaboration receive a Federal Update  |         |                           |
| **Goal:** Enhance public awareness and support of the Regional Transportation System  |         |                           |

### Fiscal Impact:

None

### Background:

The Transportation Resource Advisory Committee and Community Collaboration (TRAC) will receive an update on the Federal Transit Administration’s (FTA) Transit Development Roundtable held on October 24, 2018. Ms. Tina Quigley, General Manager for the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada (RTC), and Mr. David Frommer, University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV) Executive Director and TRAC member, will report on the discussion.

Respectfully submitted,

Tina Quigley  
General Manager

---

TRAC Item #6  
December 6, 2018  
Non-Consent
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION 
OF 
SOUTHERN NEVADA

AGENDA ITEM

Metropolitan Planning Organization  [ ]    Transit  [ ]    Administration and Finance  [ X ]

SUBJECT:  TRANSIT FINANCIAL OVERVIEW PRESENTATION

PETITIONER:  TINA QUIGLEY, GENERAL MANAGER
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA

RECOMMENDATION BY PETITIONER:
THAT THE TRANSPORTATION RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND COMMUNITY COLLABORATION RECEIVE A FINANCIAL OVERVIEW PRESENTATION REGARDING THE RTC TRANSIT SYSTEM

GOAL:  ENHANCE PUBLIC AWARENESS AND SUPPORT OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

FISCAL IMPACT:
None

BACKGROUND:
The Transportation Resource Advisory Committee and Community Collaboration (TRAC) received a presentation on the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada’s (RTC) specialized services at the October 11, 2018 TRAC meeting. Following the presentation, TRAC members discussed the paratransit services and costs associated therewith, and requested additional information regarding overall transit funding and how the funding is allocated between fixed route and paratransit services.

In response to the TRAC members’ requests, RTC staff will present a financial overview of the RTC’s transit activities.

Respectfully submitted,

TINA QUIGLEY
General Manager

TRAC    Item #7
December 6, 2018
Non-Consent
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA

AGENDA ITEM

SUBJECT: 2019 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

PETITIONER: TINA QUIGLEY, GENERAL MANAGER
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA

RECOMMENDATION BY PETITIONER:
THAT THE TRANSPORTATION RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND COMMUNITY COLLABORATION RECEIVE AN UPDATE ON THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA’S 2019 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

GOAL: ENHANCE PUBLIC AWARENESS AND SUPPORT OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

FISCAL IMPACT:
None

BACKGROUND:
The Transportation Resource Advisory Committee and Community Collaboration (TRAC) will receive an update from Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada (RTC) staff on the RTC’s 2019 legislative priorities.

Respectfully submitted,

TINA QUIGLEY
General Manager

TRAC Item #8
December 6, 2018
Non-Consent
## REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA

### AGENDA ITEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metropolitan Planning Organization</th>
<th>Transit</th>
<th>Administration and Finance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**SUBJECT:** UPCOMING EVENTS  

**PETITIONER:** TINA QUIGLEY, GENERAL MANAGER  
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA  

**RECOMMENDATION BY PETITIONER:**  
THAT THE TRANSPORTATION RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND COMMUNITY COLLABORATION DISCUSS UPCOMING EVENTS  

**GOAL:** ENHANCE PUBLIC AWARENESS AND SUPPORT OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM  

### FISCAL IMPACT:

None  

### BACKGROUND:

The Transportation Resource Advisory Committee and Community Collaboration (TRAC) will receive ongoing announcements of upcoming events related to transportation and TRAC Phase 3 discussions occurring in Southern Nevada.

Respectfully submitted,

TINA QUIGLEY  
General Manager

---

**TRAC Item #9**  
**December 6, 2018**  
Non-Consent
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
OF
SOUTHERN NEVADA

AGENDA ITEM

| Metropolitan Planning Organization | [ ] | Transit | [ ] | Administration and Finance | [ X ] |

**SUBJECT:** OPEN DISCUSSION

**PETITIONER:** TINA QUIGLEY, GENERAL MANAGER
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA

**RECOMMENDATION BY PETITIONER:**
THAT THE TRANSPORTATION RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND COMMUNITY COLLABORATION (TRAC) CONDUCT AN OPEN DISCUSSION ON TOPICS OF INTEREST AND REVIEW TOPICS FROM THE TRAC AGENDA

**GOAL:** ENHANCE PUBLIC AWARENESS AND SUPPORT OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

None

**BACKGROUND:**

The Transportation Resource Advisory Committee and Community Collaboration (TRAC or Committee) can share information about activities, meetings, news, and other topics of interest pertaining to the Committee, as well as review discussions from the TRAC December 6, 2018 agenda, in an informal manner. While no action may be taken on the subjects discussed, this item provides an opportunity for the exchange of information and may serve as a forum to suggest topics for future meetings of the Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

TINA QUIGLEY
General Manager

TRAC Item #10
December 6, 2018
Non-Consent
### AGENDA ITEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metropolitan Planning Organization</th>
<th>Transit</th>
<th>Administration and Finance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUBJECT:</strong> CITIZENS PARTICIPATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PETITIONER:</strong> TINA QUIGLEY, GENERAL MANAGER</td>
<td></td>
<td>REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RECOMMENDATION BY PETITIONER:</strong> THAT THE TRANSPORTATION RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND COMMUNITY COLLABORATION CONDUCT A COMMENT PERIOD FOR CITIZENS PARTICIPATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GOAL:</strong> ENHANCE PUBLIC AWARENESS AND SUPPORT OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FISCAL IMPACT:

None

### BACKGROUND:

In accordance with State of Nevada Open Meeting Law, the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada Transportation Resource Advisory Committee and Community Collaboration (Committee) shall invite interested persons to make comments. For the initial Citizens Participation, the public should address items on the current agenda. For the final Citizens Participation, interested persons may make comments on matters within the Committee’s jurisdiction, but not necessarily on the current agenda. No action can be taken on any matter discussed under this item, although the Committee can direct that it be placed on a future agenda.

Respectfully submitted,

TINA QUIGLEY
General Manager
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