MINUTES
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA
MARCH 14, 2019

These minutes are prepared in compliance with NRS 241.035. Text is in summarized rather than verbatim format. For complete contents, please refer to meeting recordings on file at the Regional Transportation Commission.

THIS MEETING WAS PROPERLY NOTICED AND POSTED IN THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS ON MARCH 6, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clark County Government Center</td>
<td>500 S. Grand Central Pkwy. Las Vegas, NV 89155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Henderson</td>
<td>Office of the City Clerk 240 Water Street Henderson, NV 89015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC Regional Justice Center</td>
<td>200 Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTC</td>
<td>600 S. Grand Central Pkwy. Las Vegas, NV 89106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTC Website</td>
<td><a href="http://www.rtcsnv.com">www.rtcsnv.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada Public Notice</td>
<td><a href="https://notice.nv.gov">https://notice.nv.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CALL TO ORDER
Mr. Larry Brown, Chair, called the meeting to order at 9:07 a.m. in the Commission Chambers of the Clark County Government Center.

MEMBERS PRESENT:
Larry Brown, Chair, Clark County
Debra March, Vice-Chair, City of Henderson
Isaac Barron, City of North Las Vegas
George Gault, City of Mesquite
Jim Gibson, Clark County
Carolyn Goodman, City of Las Vegas
Lois Tarkanian, City of Las Vegas
Rod Woodbury, City of Boulder City

MEMBERS ABSENT:
Kristina Swallow, Nevada Department of Transportation (ex-officio)

RTC STAFF:
Tina Quigley, General Manager
Fred Ohene, Deputy General Manager
M.J. Maynard, Deputy General Manager
Greg Gilbert, Outside Legal Counsel
Sydney Komarmy, Senior Director of Human Resources and Compliance
Angela Castro, Senior Director of Government Affairs and Media Relations and Marketing
John Peñuelas, Jr., Director of Engineering Services – Streets and Highways
Aileen Magnera, Advertising and Creative Supervisor
Marin DuBois, Management Analyst

INTERESTED PARTIES:
Aleta Dupree
Raymond Fletcher
Katrina Heineking, Transdev North America
Don Johnson, MV Transit
Francis Julien, Keolis Transit Services, LLC
Robin Kincaid
Tracy Larkin-Thomason, Nevada Department of Transportation
Tamika Logan
W.C. Pihl, Transdev North America
Lorenzo Reed, Rosie’s Wish
Stephanie Vrsnick

RTC Item #5
April 11, 2019
Consent
### Item:
#### 1. CONDUCT A COMMENT PERIOD FOR CITIZENS PARTICIPATION

**Comments:**

Chair Larry Brown called on Mr. Francis Julien, who made the following comment:

*Good morning Commissioners, Francis Julien for the record, GM for Keolis Transit America. I would like to thank the board, Tina Quigley, M.J. Maynard and her team for the industry review on the RFP for the paratransit contract. So, thank you very much for your forward thinking, and I’m looking forward to working. Thank you.*

Chair Brown then called on Ms. Aleta Dupree, who made the following comment:

*Chair Brown, members, thank you. Aleta Dupree for the record. Yes, in this segment I am speaking specifically on Item 40 which is about consulting for transport electrification. This is very, very important work. I thank you for listening. There is a move in California and other states in this direction. And, I do believe that day is gonna come, sooner rather than later, when we will have to move into the realm of operating electric transportation vehicles in our generally forty and sixty-foot length of which there are products that are available off the shelf today. It is my hope that you will use this contract to its maximum extent. Take heed of the knowledge and wisdom. For I am just a lay person in the world of electric vehicles. I believe that these will work in hot weather. We can look to Antelope Valley Transportation Authority for experience in this, in operating, I believe they have about 35 of these electric vehicles operating in the high deserts north of Los Angeles. So, it’s good that we have this on consent. Let’s pass this item. Get to work. And, we can build something special, I believe ideally for the strip. Thank you.*

Next, Chair Brown called on Mr. Lorenzo Reed, who made the following comment:

*Good morning Commissioner Brown and his humble members of your staff. To Mr. Barron who I love dearly, you know. So, my name is Lorenzo Reed and I’m the Founder and President of Rosie’s Wish Community Development Corporation. We opened our doors in 2012. We provide therapeutic services to more than 130 kids who are diabetic, obese, and severely emotion disturbed. These kids are between the ages of 13, well, three and eighteen. If you wonder who Rosie’s Wish is, Rosie was my mom. She died from complications in ’03 from diabetes. Diabetes is a passion of mine, and is a fight that I fight with every day. We provide specialized treatment in which we provide positive behavior training by working on remedial skills such as basic living skills, social skills, communications skills, parenting training, organizational skills, and academic achievement to over 200 kids in this community. We pick these clients up and transport them to our facilities for after school activities. About 90% of these kids will attend all their endocrinologists’ appointments with them. To make sure the doctors understand the fight that these kids are going through with the fight with diabetes. One of my favorite success stories is, we had a kid in our program. This kid fought his tail off to beat diabetes. We was able to get him the first Bluetooth insulin pump in Las Vegas. This kid is now on the staff for Canyon Springs High School and just recently won the Pop Warner Championship in Pop Warner football. So, thanks to your generous donations from RTC and the board members here, the vehicles that you provided for us have not only helped us get these kids back and forth to their therapeutic appointments, their mental health appointments, their endocrinologist appointments. The countless hours that we have to transport these kids, you guys make it possible. As adults we don’t praise each other enough, so I’m here to thank you guys for all the work that you guys do, for the City of Las Vegas, North Las Vegas, these youth, and everyone in our community that is fighting diabetes. So today I’m here to present this plaque to you guys. So, this plaque presented to express my deepest appreciation for all the hard work and dedication you give to Rosie’s Wish Community Development Corporation and the communities and youths of the City of Las Vegas and North Las Vegas. Rosie’s Wish CDC would like to thank you for always being there, giving us more than we can ever ask for. So, I appreciate everything that you guys do. I know you...*
Item:
2. APPROVE THE AGENDA (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

Comments:
Ms. Tina Quigley, General Manager for the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada (RTC), suggested that Item #35 – Approve Amendment No. 3 to Contract No. 12-081-B, Operation and Maintenance of Fixed Route Services – Lot B, Between the RTC and Keolis Transit Services, LLC be moved from the Consent Agenda to the Non-Consent portion of the agenda for discussion.

Motion:
Vice-Chair Debra March made a motion to approve the agenda with the suggested move of Item #35.

Vote/Summary:
7 Ayes. 0 Nays. The motion carried.
Ayes: Larry Brown, Isaac Barron, George Gault, Jim Gibson, Debra March, Lois Tarkanian, Rod Woodbury
Nays: None
Absent: Carolyn Goodman
**Item:**
3. RECEIVE THE GENERAL MANAGER’S REPORT

**Comments:**
Following a detailed PowerPoint presentation [attached], Ms. Tina Quigley, General Manager for the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada (RTC), provided the General Manager’s Report. The report included only one item, an operator recognition. Ms. Quigley recognized Ms. Tamika Logan, operator from MV Transportation, for her performance relating to customer relations and mentoring her coworkers. Ms. Logan expressed her gratitude for the recognition and her job.

**Motion:**
No motion was necessary.

**Vote/Summary:**
No vote was taken.

---

**Item:**
4. RECEIVE THE NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION DIRECTOR’S REPORT

**Comments:**
Ms. Tina Quigley, General Manager for the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada (RTC), introduced Ms. Tracy Larkin-Thomason, Deputy Director for the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT), to provide the NDOT Director’s Report. Following a detailed PowerPoint presentation [attached], Ms. Larkin-Thomason began with a brief overview of safety trends. She noted that so far in 2019, pedestrian deaths increased by 40 percent, but unrestrained occupant fatalities are down 62 percent. Safety will continue to be a priority for NDOT, and it will continue to monitor these trends accordingly.

Next, Ms. Larkin-Thomason spoke about the maintenance done during the snowstorm in Las Vegas. Interstate-15 (I-15), Interstate-11 (I-11), and U.S.-95 were closed at the request of California and Arizona highway patrols respectively, due to the more severe weather conditions in those areas. She also noted that the Nevada Highway Patrol responded to 213 crashes and 84 motorist-assist requests during a 24-hour period in the Las Vegas area as a result of the snowstorm.

Then, Ms. Larkin-Thomason recapped NDOT’s recent Congressional briefings. She said state transportation leaders visit the Congressional Delegation annually in March to discuss issues that affect all the different agencies across the country. Among the topics discussed during the most recent briefings included the following: repealing the 2020 Proposed Rescission, which has the potential to reduce amount of the federal funding available by approximately $55 to $75 million; flexibility in using federal funding for safety education, which was eliminated by the Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act; and long-term, fully-funded multi-modal surface transportation bill, which is an ongoing request. Ms. Larkin-Thomason added that she wanted to make sure the RTC was aware of NDOT’s discussions and needs before RTC staff’s upcoming Congressional visit.

Continuing, Ms. Larkin-Thomason highlighted NDOT’s state legislative priorities and discussed the two bills they support, Assembly Bill (AB) 7 and AB 22. She said AB 7 pertains solely to NDOT and its Board of Directors. There was no opposition on a recent vote for the bill, and it is expected to move forward. AB 22 pertains to construction expenditures and the amount (2.5 percent) NDOT can keep as a retainer from construction companies until a project is completed. This bill is also expected to pass without opposition.

Finally, Ms. Larkin-Thomason announced that the ribbon cutting celebrating the completion of Project Neon would be held on the evening of May 16, 2019. She also invited everyone to participate in
Nevada Move’s Day on March 20, 2019. The event is meant to encourage children to walk to school and will feature activities throughout Clark County.

**Motion:**
No motion was necessary.

**Vote/Summary:**
No vote was taken.

**CONSENT AGENDA (ITEMS 5 THROUGH 45)**
All items marked with asterisks (**) are considered by the Regional Transportation Commission to be routine and may be acted upon in one motion. However, the Regional Transportation Commission may discuss any consent item individually if requested by a Commission member or a citizen when the consent agenda is considered for approval.

| **5.** | APPROVAL OF MINUTES: Meeting of February 14, 2019 (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION) |
| **6.** | ADOPT AMENDMENTS TO THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION) |
| **7.** | RECEIVE A REPORT ON THE SUMMARY OF FISCAL ACTIONS RELATED TO THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION) |
| **8.** | APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN SUPPLEMENTAL NO. 1 TO THE INTERLOCAL CONTRACT THAT INCLUDES A REVISED AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED TO REVISE THE SCOPE OF WORK AND INCREASE PROJECT FUNDING FOR CONSTRUCTION, ENGINEERING AND RIGHT-OF-WAY FROM MOTOR VEHICLE FUEL TAX FUNDS FOR CLARK COUNTY PROJECT 054G-MVFT; DESERT INN ROAD, NELLIS BOULEVARD TO HOLLYWOOD BOULEVARD (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION) |
| **9.** | APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN THE INTERLOCAL CONTRACT THAT INCLUDES AN AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED FROM MOTOR VEHICLE FUEL TAX FUNDS FOR ENGINEERING FOR CITY OF LAS VEGAS PROJECT 045D-MVFT; OGDEN AVENUE, MAIN STREET TO LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION) |
| **10.** | APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN SUPPLEMENTAL INTERLOCAL CONTRACT NO. 1 THAT INCLUDES AN AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED TO INCREASE PROJECT FUNDING FROM FUEL REVENUE INDEXING EXTENSION FUNDS FOR ENGINEERING AND RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR CITY OF LAS VEGAS PROJECT 089M-FTI2; LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD, STEWART AVENUE TO WASHINGTON AVENUE (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION) |
| **11.** | APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN SUPPLEMENTAL INTERLOCAL CONTRACT NO. 3 THAT INCLUDES AN AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED TO REVISE THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT AND INCREASE PROJECT FUNDING FOR ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION FROM MOTOR VEHICLE FUEL TAX FUNDS FOR CITY OF LAS VEGAS PROJECT 135AB3-MVFT; ARTERIAL RECONSTRUCTION: 2019 CITY OF LAS VEGAS MAINTENANCE (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION) |
| **12.** | APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN SUPPLEMENTAL INTERLOCAL CONTRACT NO. 2 THAT INCLUDES AN AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED TO REVISE THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT AND INCREASE PROJECT FUNDING FOR ENGINEERING, RIGHT-OF-WAY AND CONSTRUCTION FROM FUEL REVENUE INDEXING EXTENSION FUNDS FOR CITY OF LAS VEGAS PROJECT 135Y-FTI2; CITY OF LAS VEGAS ARTERIAL RECONSTRUCTION PROGRAM – PACKAGE 5 (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION) |
| **13.** | APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN SUPPLEMENTAL ** |
INTERLOCAL CONTRACT NO. 1 THAT INCLUDES AN AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED TO INCREASE PROJECT FUNDING FROM MOTOR VEHICLE FUEL TAX FUNDS FOR ENGINEERING FOR CITY OF LAS VEGAS PROJECT 142R3-MVFT; ENTITY NON-PROJECT SPECIFIC EXPENSES, FISCAL YEAR 2019 (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**14.** APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN SUPPLEMENTAL INTERLOCAL CONTRACT NO. 1 THAT INCLUDES AN AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED TO REVISE THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT AND INCREASE PROJECT FUNDING FOR CONSTRUCTION, ENGINEERING AND RIGHT-OF-WAY FROM MOTOR VEHICLE FUEL TAX FUNDS FOR CITY OF LAS VEGAS PROJECT 211B1-MVFT; TRAFFIC SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2019 (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**15.** APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN SUPPLEMENTAL INTERLOCAL CONTRACT NO. 1 THAT INCLUDES AN AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED TO INCREASE PROJECT FUNDING FROM QUESTION 10 FUNDS FOR ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION FOR CITY OF LAS VEGAS PROJECT 190F-Q10; PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE: CIMARRON ROAD AT SUMMERLIN PARKWAY (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**16.** APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN SUPPLEMENTAL INTERLOCAL CONTRACT NO. 3 TO EXTEND THE PROJECT COMPLETION DATE FOR CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS PROJECT 089J-FT1; LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD, TONOPAH AVENUE TO CAREY AVENUE (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**17.** APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN THE INTERLOCAL CONTRACT THAT INCLUDES AN AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED FROM MOTOR VEHICLE FUEL TAX FUNDS FOR ENGINEERING FOR CITY OF HENDERSON PROJECT 175Q3-MVFT; NEIGHBORHOOD REHABILITATION: 2019 CITY OF HENDERSON MAINTENANCE (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**18.** APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN THE INTERLOCAL CONTRACT THAT INCLUDES AN AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED FOR ENGINEERING FROM MOTOR VEHICLE FUEL TAX FUNDS FOR CITY OF HENDERSON PROJECT 223A-MVFT; INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM: LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD AND LARSON LANE (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**19.** APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN SUPPLEMENTAL INTERLOCAL CONTRACT NO. 1 THAT INCLUDES A REVISED AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED TO INCREASE PROJECT FUNDS FOR ENGINEERING FROM FUEL REVENUE EXTENSION FUNDS FOR CITY OF HENDERSON PROJECT 201A-FT12; ROMA HILLS DRIVE, WEST HORIZON RIDGE PARKWAY TO ASCAYA BOULEVARD (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**20.** APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN THE INTERLOCAL CONTRACT THAT INCLUDES AN AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED FOR CONSTRUCTION FROM MOTOR VEHICLE FUEL TAX DIRECT DISTRIBUTION FUNDS FOR CITY OF MESQUITE PROJECT 063AM-MVFT; 2019 STREET RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT - PHASE I (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**21.** RECEIVE A REPORT ON THE RECOMMENDATION FOR BEST BID FOR CONSTRUCTION FROM MOTOR VEHICLE FUEL TAX FUNDS FOR CLARK COUNTY PROJECT 099C-MVFT; HOLLYWOOD BOULEVARD, CHARLESTON BOULEVARD TO LAKE MEAD BOULEVARD (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**22.** RECEIVE A REPORT ON THE RECOMMENDATION FOR BEST BID FOR CONSTRUCTION FROM MOTOR VEHICLE FUEL TAX FUNDS FOR CITY OF HENDERSON PROJECT 183B-MVFT; VALLE VERDE DRIVE, HORIZON RIDGE PARKWAY TO WARM SPRINGS ROAD (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**23.** RECEIVE A REPORT ON THE RECOMMENDATION FOR BEST BID FOR
**CONSTRUCTION FROM MOTOR VEHICLE FUEL TAX FUNDS FOR CITY OF HENDERSON PROJECT 192A-MVFT; SUNRIDGE HEIGHTS PARKWAY, EXECUTIVE AIRPORT DRIVE TO SEVEN HILLS DRIVE (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)**

**24.** APPROVE THE FINAL REPORT FOR CITY OF LAS VEGAS PROJECT 037B-FTI; RANCHO DRIVE, BONANZA ROAD TO RAINBOW BOULEVARD (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**25.** APPROVE THE FINAL REPORT FOR CITY OF LAS VEGAS PROJECT 078C-FTI AND 078C-MVFT; OAKEY BOULEVARD TO WYOMING AVENUE, RAINBOW BOULEVARD TO INDUSTRIAL ROAD (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**26.** APPROVE THE FINAL REPORT FOR CITY OF LAS VEGAS PROJECT 093E-FTI; ALTA DRIVE, RANCHO DRIVE TO MAIN STREET (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**27.** APPROVE THE FINAL REPORT FOR CLARK COUNTY PROJECT 176B-FTI; COMPLETE STREETS; DESERT INN ROAD, SIR GEORGE DRIVE AND WINTERWOOD BOULEVARD (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**28.** APPROVE THE FINAL REPORT FOR CITY OF LAS VEGAS PROJECT 178A-FTI; PEDESTRIAN SAFETY UPGRADES PACKAGE 1 (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**29.** APPROVE THE FINAL REPORT FOR CITY OF LAS VEGAS PROJECT 021D-Q10 AND 021D-MVFT; MARTIN LUTHER KING BOULEVARD, PALOMINO LANE TO CAREY AVENUE (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**30.** APPROVE THE FINAL REPORT FOR CITY OF LAS VEGAS PROJECT 146C2-MVFT; FISCAL YEAR 2007 BUS TURNOUT PROJECT LAMB BOULEVARD AND PECOS ROAD (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**31.** RECEIVE NOTIFICATION THAT THE MONTHLY ENTITY PROJECT FINANCIAL AND STATUS REPORTS HAVE BEEN POSTED TO THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION OF SOUTHERN NEVADA WEBSITE (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**32.** RECEIVE NOTIFICATION THAT THE MONTHLY CAPITAL PROJECT TRACKING REPORT AND THE UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM PROJECT ACTIVITY STATUS REPORT HAVE BEEN POSTED TO THE RTC’S WEBSITE (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**33.** RECEIVE NOTIFICATION OF THE PREPARATION AND POSTING OF THE ANNUAL LISTING OF FEDERALLY OBLIGATED PROJECTS (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**34.** APPROVE AMENDMENT CLARK 19-07 TO THE 2017-2040 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**35.** APPROVE AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO CONTRACT NO. 12 081 B, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF FIXED ROUTE SERVICES—LOT B, BETWEEN THE RTC AND KEOLIS TRANSIT SERVICES, LLC IN ORDER TO ADD THE MICRO TRANSIT PILOT PROGRAM SCOPE OF SERVICES TO THE CONTRACT; ADD A NOT-TO-EXCEED AMOUNT OF $3,674,800.00 IN FUNDING TO PERFORM THE ADDITIONAL SERVICE FOR AN INITIAL PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS, WITH THE THREE ONE-YEAR OPTIONS TO EXTEND THE PROGRAM; AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**36.** APPROVE AMENDMENT NO. 02 TO CONTRACT 18-086, WAYCARE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM FOR TRAFFIC SAFETY AND TRAFFIC FLOW OPTIMIZATION, WITH WAYCARE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. IN THE NOT-TO-EXCEED AMOUNT OF $150,000.00 FOR THE PERIOD OF MARCH 14, 2019 THROUGH MAY 31, 2020, PURSUANT TO NEVADA REVISED STATUTE 332.115.1 (H), AND AUTHORIZE
**37.** Approve the award of bid no. 18-097CON(A), general market shelters and pads – phase 6A, to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder, CMMCM LLC d/b/a Muller Construction, in the amount not-to-exceed $2,991,201.08, inclusive of a $263,000.00 owner-controlled allowance and $5,000.00 permit allowance, and authorize the Chairman to sign the contract (for possible action)

**38.** Approve Amendment No. 1 to contract 19-033, automated cone detection pilot, with Nexar, Inc. for the not-to-exceed amount of $150,000.00 for the period of February 16, 2019 through June 15, 2019, pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute 332.115 (G) and (H), and authorize the Chairman to sign (for possible action)

**39.** Approve a revised purchase order for project no. 19-050, for on-site Drivermate software dispatcher training and support, to Trapeze Software Group, Inc. in the not-to-exceed amount of $7,650.00, for a total purchase order amount of $53,222.95, and authorize staff to issue a revised purchase order (for possible action)

**40.** Approve agreement 19-053, transportation electrification consulting, to E-Centricity, LLP in the amount not-to-exceed $100,000.00 from March 14, 2019 through March 13, 2020 and authorize the Chairman to sign the contract; or take other action as deemed appropriate (for possible action)

**41.** Approve, adopt, and authorize the Chairman to sign resolution 564 for donation of equipment to Las Vegas Fire & Rescue, a nonprofit organization (for possible action)

**42.** Approve, adopt, and authorize the Chairman to sign resolution 565 for donation of equipment to Paradise Calvary Chapel, a nonprofit organization (for possible action)

**43.** Approve, adopt, and authorize the Chairman to sign resolution 566 for donation of equipment to 9th Island Cultural Club of Las Vegas, a nonprofit organization (for possible action)

**44.** Approve, adopt, and authorize the Chairman to sign resolution 567 for donation of equipment to New Revelation Baptist Church, a nonprofit organization (for possible action)

**45.** Approve, adopt, and authorize the Chairman to sign resolution 568 for donation of equipment to Las Vegas Philharmonic, a nonprofit organization (for possible action)

**Comments:**
No comments were made.

**Motion:**
Vice-Chair Debra March made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda with the suggested move of Item #35 to Non-Consent for discussion.

**Vote/Summary:**
7 Ayes. 0 Nays. The motion carried.
Ayes: Larry Brown, Isaac Barron, George Gault, Jim Gibson, Debra March, Lois Tarkanian, Rod Woodbury
Nays: None
Absent: Carolyn Goodman
**Item:**

35. APPROVE AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO CONTRACT NO. 12-081-B, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF FIXED ROUTE SERVICES – LOT B, BETWEEN THE RTC AND KEOLIS TRANSIT SERVICES, LLC IN ORDER TO ADD THE MICRO-TRANSIT PILOT PROGRAM SCOPE OF SERVICES TO THE CONTRACT; ADD A NOT-TO-EXCEED AMOUNT OF $3,674,800.00 IN FUNDING TO PERFORM THE ADDITIONAL SERVICE FOR AN INITIAL PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS, WITH THE THREE ONE-YEAR OPTIONS TO EXTEND THE PROGRAM; AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**Comments:**

Ms. M.J. Maynard, Deputy General Manager for the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada (RTC), addressed proposed Amendment No. 3 to Contract No 12-081-B, Operation and Maintenance of Fixed Route Services – Lot B. Ms. Maynard began with an overview of the Micro-Transit Pilot Program (Pilot). The Pilot will extend as far south as the M Resort, in and around the Resort Corridor, and as far north as the Mob Museum. The Pilot arose from surveys conducted with tourists and local residents that expressed interest in an on-demand service. The Pilot will last for only two six-month periods with updates provided to the RTC Board of Commissioners every six months. As part of the Pilot, McCarran International Airport (Airport) is allowing the RTC to use one bay near the bays RTC fixed route service currently uses at the Airport. The Las Vegas Convention and Visitors Authority (LVCVA) is also participating in the program and will allow customer pick-ups and drop-offs within the Convention Center District. Any other pick-ups and drop-offs will be made at RTC bus stops. She said a soft launch is scheduled for April or May 2019, and the hard launch will be in June 2019.

Ms. Tina Quigley, General Manager for the RTC, added some high-level context to the need for the program. She stressed how important it is for the RTC to proactively look for alternative models of service and mobility since ridership and revenues are down nationally and locally. She said that overall the transit industry is in a state of disruption and looking for ways to leverage new technology and provide new services. The RTC uses different pilot programs to analyze what alternatives work. Other similar micro-transit on-demand projects are being tested across the country and have attracted interest from the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). Ms. Quigley said the results of the pilot program will be reported to the FTA and the industry. She noted the possibility of fixed route services being phased out in the future in favor of more agile services. Future on-demand programs may allow the RTC to service a broader area since fixed route service presents high operational costs.

Commissioner Jim Gibson commended the RTC’s efforts to take advantage of new technology. He also agreed with the level of urgency to find solutions. He then asked for clarification on the stops included in the Pilot and whether they included paratransit stops on private property, areas in the Convention Center District to be determined by the LVCVA, and the Airport zero level. Ms. Quigley confirmed the stops, adding that the RTC will be able to track the buses in order to ensure they use only RTC bus stops unless otherwise indicated.

Vice-Chair Debra March thanked Ms. Quigley for the opportunity to discuss the item and noted the importance of making informed decisions based on data. She also asked that the taxi cab companies be included in the RTC’s different efforts to develop new services.

Councilman Isaac Barron asked if the Pilot would include an app. Ms. Maynard confirmed that it would have its own app that is connected to the rideRTC app.
Chair Larry Brown opened the floor to public comments. No comments were made.

**Motion:**
Vice-Chair Debra March made a motion to approve.

**Vote/Summary:**
7 Ayes. 0 Nays. The motion carried.
Ayes: Larry Brown, Isaac Barron, George Gault, Jim Gibson, Debra March, Lois Tarkanian, Rod Woodbury
Nays: None
Absent: Carolyn Goodman

**Item:**
46. EVALUATE THE PERFORMANCE OF THE GENERAL MANAGER FOR THE PERIOD OF JANUARY 1, 2018 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2018; FORMULATE RECOMMENDATIONS ON MANAGEMENT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES FOR 2019; AND ADJUST COMPENSATION OR TAKE ACTION AS DEEMED APPROPRIATE (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

**Comments:**
Ms. Sydney Komarmy, Senior Director of Human Resources and Compliance for the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada (RTC), explained that this item was to evaluate the General Manager for the period of January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018 and formulate recommendations on management goals and objectives for 2019, as well as adjusting compensation, or taking action as deemed appropriate by the RTC Board of Commissioners (Board). Ms. Komarmy recounted how the Evaluation Committee was appointed at the November 8, 2018 Board meeting and was comprised of Chair Larry Brown, Vice-Chair Debra March, and Mayor Pro-Tem Lois Tarkanian. Chair Brown and Vice-Chair March met on January 29, 2019 to evaluate Ms. Quigley’s 2018 performance. Ms. Komarmy turned the item over to the Board for action.

Vice-Chair March stated that the Evaluation Committee met and discussed the roles and responsibilities of the General Manager. She then outlined the Evaluation Committee’s recommendations, which were as follows:

- Increase the General Manager’s continuing education and leadership training fund by $10,500.00 for a total of $15,500;
- Maintain salary compensation and any other benefit levels as outlined in the General Manager’s contract; and
- Recommend a change in title from General Manager to Chief Executive Officer.

**Motion:**
Vice-Chair Debra March made a motion to follow the recommendations of the Evaluation Committee, which include the following: increase the General Manager’s continuing education and leadership training fund by $10,500.00 to $15,500.00; salary compensation, and any other associated benefits as set forth in the General Manager’s contract, would remain at the same level; and the General Manager’s title be changed to Chief Executive Officer.

**Vote/Summary:**
7 Ayes. 0 Nays. The motion carried.
Ayes: Larry Brown, Isaac Barron, George Gault, Jim Gibson, Debra March, Lois Tarkanian, Rod Woodbury
Nays: None
Absent: Carolyn Goodman
Item:
47. APPROVE ISSUANCE OF REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS NO. 19-019, ADA PARATRANSIT AND SENIOR TRANSPORTATION SERVICES EVALUATION CRITERIA, AND DIRECT STAFF ACCORDINGLY (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

Comments:
Following a detailed PowerPoint presentation [attached], Ms. M.J. Maynard, Deputy General Manager for the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada (RTC), provided an overview of the structure of the procurement, including the proposed contract term and evaluation criteria, for the issuance of the request for proposals (RFP) for the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) paratransit and senior transportation services.

Ms. Maynard began with an overview of the ADA paratransit peer review conducted for the RTC by the firm Nelson\Nygaard. The report included a review of other transit agencies that contracted their ADA paratransit operations. The purpose was to understand the procurement considerations from the most recently issued paratransit RFPs. As part of the peer review study, Nelson\Nygaard surveyed the following 10 transit agencies: Atlanta, Georgia; Boston, Massachusetts; Denver, Colorado; Jacksonville, Florida; Orange County, California; Phoenix, Arizona; Portland, Oregon; San Antonio, Texas; Seattle, Washington; and Tucson, Arizona.

The draft RFP, Ms. Maynard continued, was also shared with nine private sector transportation companies that provided feedback on the scope of work. These comments and questions were then used to make any necessary changes to the RFP. Ms. Maynard added that the feedback was very useful and as an example cited the general statements regarding the initially proposed Liquidated Damages (LD). According to the respondent companies, the LD seemed excessive and would be wrapped up in the overall contract price if they were included. RTC staff made modifications that limited the financial risk for the private sector partner while still maintaining required service performance standards.

Recommendations were also made regarding the requirement to have all six key personnel in place at the commencement of the contract. The opening team will now consist of a General Manager, Director of Operations, and Director of Fleet Maintenance. She said there were several statements requesting that the RTC allow companies to submit proposals that offer additional innovative and/or cost-saving ideas. This change was also incorporated into the RFP. There were also requests for supplemental data to assist with the proposers’ costing model.

Ms. Maynard said RTC staff recommended using the same two-step evaluation process previously used on the RTC’s fixed route and paratransit procurements. She then outlined the methodology. During Step 1, proposers are evaluated only on technical qualifications. Only the most qualified proposers go on to the next step. Companies that submit proposals have three different models they can use to craft a proposal. Each company can submit a proposal for all models or respond only to the service model in which they could be the most effective provider. Then she reviewed each of the models.

Model 1, Ms. Maynard stated, requests that solicitors provide ADA paratransit service, senior transportation services, and non-dedicated services, which allow the contractor to use a small fleet of vans the RTC will provide to either operate or subcontract. Whereas, she continued, Model 2 requests that solicitors provide all the services included in Model 1 plus same-day, on-demand (SDOD) services. These services are part of the pilot of the program with Lyft and Tango, which was introduced in February of 2018. Finally, Model 3 allows for the solicitors to propose an alternative service model that contains any proven service enhancements and innovations. Additionally, the following technical criteria will also be evaluated during Step 1: management structure and key personnel; startup plan and
operational innovation; staffing and personnel; employee training; vehicle and equipment maintenance; and safety and security management.

The most qualified proposal will move on to Step 2 of the evaluation process, Ms. Maynard continued. She added that during Step 2, price can be a more significant factor. The findings in the peer review study showed that the transit agencies weighed the technical criteria more heavily than price. The range for Technical Criteria was 60 percent to 80 percent, with the average being 67.5 percent. In all cases, the balance to reach 100 percent was price. Ms. Maynard said the staff recommendation was to use a weight of 60 percent for the technical part and 40 percent for price with regard to Step 2. The evaluation team will consist of RTC cross-functional staff and external partners.

According to the peer review study, Ms. Maynard said, the maximum contract term found in any of the RFPs, including extensions, is 10 years. The maximum average duration is 7.8 years. Ms. Maynard remarked that RTC staff recommended a contract period of seven years with a breakdown of a five-year base term and two one-year contract extensions.

Next, Ms. Maynard reviewed the timeline. Once the RFP is approved by the RTC, next-steps include:
- March 2019 – Issue the RFP
- September 2019 – Approval of recommendation and contract by the RTC Board of Commissioners (Board)
- September 2019 to January 2020 – Transition period and Notice to Proceed
- January 2020 – Contract commencement

Commissioner Jim Gibson asked about the performance standards that must be met by the successful proposer. Ms. Maynard said the contractor will be expected to meet the ADA regulations that surround this type of service. The RTC will be tracking and collecting data to ensure that the contractor does not miss pick-up and drop-off windows and monitor maintenance of vehicles.

Commissioner Gibson then asked about the modifications to liquidated damages. Ms. Maynard gave an example of how the RFP did not include liquidated damages if the contractor was late invoicing. She explained that the item was changed because paying the contractor later did not adversely affect the RTC, but penalizing the contractor for tardiness in reporting and invoicing did significantly impact the contractor. Commissioner Gibson asked if there were any liquidated damages based on performance. Ms. Maynard responded that if the contractor fell below a 92 percent performance level, the contractor could be assessed daily liquidated damages.

Chair Larry Brown asked about the cone of silence. Ms. Maynard responded that the cone of silence would begin March 28, 2019 pending the Board’s approval of the item. Chair Brown explained that from March 28, 2019 through the posting of the item on the September 2019 agenda, contractors who responded to the RFP cannot have direct or indirect contact with the any Board members.

Chair Brown then inquired about the composition of the evaluation team. Ms. Maynard said it would consist of five RTC cross-functional staff and two external partners.

Lastly, Chair Brown asked that the evaluation committee have a clear and consistent rating system for any proposals submitted under Model 3 as it is a new approach.

**Motion:**
Vice-Chair Debra March made a motion to approve.
Vote/Summary:
7 Ayes. 0 Nays. The motion carried.
Ayes: Larry Brown, Isaac Barron, George Gault, Jim Gibson, Debra March, Lois Tarkanian, Rod Woodbury
Nays: None
Absent: Carolyn Goodman

Item:
48. RECEIVE INFORMATION FROM LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING POTENTIAL AND EXISTING LITIGATION INVOLVING A MATTER OVER WHICH THE RTC HAS SUPERVISION, CONTROL, JURISDICTION, OR ADVISORY POWER AND TO DELIBERATE TOWARD A DECISION ON THE MATTER (Note: This item may be closed to the public pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute 241.015(3)(b)(2) in order to discuss legal matters.) (FOR POSSIBLE ACTION)

Comments:
Ms. Tina Quigley, General Manager for the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada, remarked that there were not any issues to discuss under this item.

Motion:
No motion was necessary.

Vote/Summary:
No vote was taken.

Item:
49. CONDUCT A COMMENT PERIOD FOR CITIZENS PARTICIPATION: No action can be taken on any matter discussed under this item, although the Commission can direct that it be placed on a future agenda.

Comments:
Chair Larry Brown called on Ms. Aleta Dupree, who made the following public comment:
Chair Brown. Members of the Board. Aleta Dupree for the record. Again, thank you. So, I did finally get to ride one of the new 18,000 series buses. It was good. I like the blue seats with the dots. I hope that we can get all forty of them real soon and retire the double-deckers from the north yard. I’m going to talk about some different things today. I watched a County Commission meeting on live stream. Happened to find out there was a conversation about shared scooters. I’m tying this into bikes and bike share. I hope to try out the electric bikes. I bought a pass through the app. So, I will look at the app and hopefully be able to chase one and try it before the 18th. I think we should do this and have electric bikes because they can be very helpful for people with various challenges. So, I hope that will be a permanent part of the program and more than just five of them in the fleet of 180. And regarding scooters, I think it’s important we have a conversation. I think that this agency is most equipped for the common-sense regulation of dockless scooters and bicycles. I have actually used the dockless scooters in Los Angeles. I have several apps in four different accounts, and I do wear a helmet. I obey all pertinent vehicle traffic laws of the jurisdiction that I have operated in. So, for those who have never met a scooter rider you just have. And so, those of us who ride scooters we’re generally good people who, we’re good for eating lunch with and enjoying non-alcoholic beverages. And so, I think it’s important to have this conversation toward a common-sense regulation of a county-wide program of dockless scooters and bikes that excludes the strip and Fremont Street and various other congested areas, parks, trails, places where bikes and scooters would not be. So, we have to start to move in the direction of other large cities like L.A. This can be an opportunity to gain some appropriate taxation for this agency. We can fund the various programs that we do. To help people like myself get around.
As I’m going to get on a bus and use this app. Let’s work on a uniform and fair policy and put single ride tickets on the app and hope that we will eliminate paper tickets from this agency. Thank you.”

Next, Chair Brown called on Ms. Stephanie Vrsnick, who made the following public comment:

Good morning commissioners. My name is Stephanie Vrsnick. I started coming to this RTC commission meetings back in November of 2017, speaking on behalf of my son. For the 16th time, I am asking this commission to restore the service area to the way it was in 2011. I continue to come to these commission meetings every month with the same message. Does this commission hear my message? I continue to ask the same questions and never get any answers. My prior testimonies are all on record. If you go back and read them you will see my previous testimonies all have been the same questions, comments, and message. Expand the service area to the way it was in 2011. What is this commission doing with the information from the peer review study that was presented in October of 2018? A study that we waited six months to get completed, and a comment that I always say is this commission is not meeting the needs of this community. I am still waiting on answers. As a member of this community I feel like I deserve the respect and consideration of this commission to provide me with answers. I have not been given any options. I will continue to come to each, I will continue to come each month and voice the same message. As a mom, my goal has always been to have my son be as independent as possible. This is what all parents want for their children. My son is independent. He has a federal job. He takes care of his self-care needs. I am very proud of him. The odds were against him when he was diagnosed with autism. He has worked very hard to get where he is, and he continues to work hard on a daily basis. Transportation is a barrier that is keeping him from being an independent adult. Nevada is his home, and this is his community. He is not going anywhere, and neither am I. He deserves to have access to transportation. Additionally, I’d like to comment on an issue that was brought up in the meeting, that is interesting to me how we can do a pilot program requested by our tourists, and yet we cannot expand the service area to meet the needs of this community. In closing, the Americans with Disabilities Act was designed to protect the rights of people with disabilities, to give them access to transportation. Again, I’m asking this commission to restore the service area to the way it was in 2011, eight years ago.

Chair Brown then called on Ms. Robin Kincaid, who made the following public comment:

Good morning commissioners, my name is Robin Kincaid and I have shared with the board in the past how the service area restrictions have affected my daughter, Kayla Kincaid’s, access to her life, her church, her friends, and potential employment opportunities. Once again, I stand before you to pose questions, requesting answers, to give you input, to give you, you know, our story. And as Mrs. Vrsnick has just indicated, every month we’re gonna continue to want to try to address this issue. Since 2010, the Las Vegas urban area has seen a double-digit increase in population for persons over 65 and persons with disabilities. We’ve seen significant growth in housing by adding new senior developments, new assisted living units along with new residential communities. None of these areas are ever going to see a fixed route in their area which is gonna actually result in paratransit services because there isn’t a formula. There isn’t a plan to actually serve an expanding community. Recently, the RTC solicited comments about Maryland Parkway. We need to solicit comments from the many eligible customers and consumers that cannot get transportation services where they are living today. The RTC website indicates that there is a process to evaluate the service annually for expansions, but when was the last time this evaluation for expansion was conducted, and when will the public see this evaluation. There are some Las Vegas constituents who have been waiting for over 20 years for transportation services. Once again, I’m asking you to either expand the fixed route area or restore the service area to the pre-2011 size so that transportation dollars are spent in an equitable manner to meet the needs of all your constituents. Persons with disabilities like my daughter Kayla are looking for equity in how dollars are spent in transportation. Again, why would we spend unlimited resources in, in trying to provide free
transportation to the many visitors that visit the Las Vegas area, but we can’t provide services to seniors over 55 or persons with disabilities that are limited in where they can go in this community? They’re never going to be able to demonstrate the need for that fixed route. It also means they can’t necessarily go outside a service area if they live in the service area. I think that piece is something that is always lost in the conversation. In the February public comment, I discussed with the commission the April 2008, the Federal Transit Administration for ADA paratransit compliance review and the multiple findings that were noted by the FTA. I requested an update and I want to thank Dan, I’m not where Dan is. He sent me some information about that, and I really appreciate that, but a lot of the information that I received really talks about that the RTC is just asking to close the findings. Just close the issue, and our concern is that when these issues come up and these findings occur is that we need to address them so we can find a path to improve areas in eligibility determination, excessive trip lengths and suspension policies. I’m hoping that the commissioners are also seeing the same information that was sent to me, the latest letter that was published in December. I forward to the commission finding ways to expand the service area and to meet the needs of a growing population that include our most vulnerable citizens. Thank you for your time.

Next, Chair Brown called on Mr. Raymond Fletcher, who made the following public comment:

Good morning Commissioner, Mayor, members of the commission. For the record Raymond Fletcher. Three things I want to speak to you about today is your ride tracker app, bus shelters, and advocacy. I would’ve been here last month, but due to the rain, and the lack of bus shelter that I needed, by the time that I got to the bus stop I was soaking wet. I already had a shower, but the previous month in January, I was going to my cousin’s to celebrate my 42nd birthday. Found out then that I wasn’t supposed to be here longer than two months, yet here I am 42 years later. So that, I’m sitting out there connecting one service to another, the buses missed. You know traffic and what not, and there was an accident the way I was traveling. And, I noticed the bus wasn’t going on route. It was turning, yet ride tracker didn’t indicate that there was a change. You may want to look at seeing what you can do, because I sat out there for 52 minutes before I was able to talk to somebody and find out that there was a detour and I needed to get to another bus shelter. Additionally, it was cold that evening, so you’ve got someone sitting in a wheelchair, in the cold, 52 minutes and you got other passengers that potentially were not serviced because the coach was on detour. That’s the first thing. Bus shelters, already talked about, you know, being in the rain and I saw how you’re looking at putting the bollards up and what not, I would sincerely urge you to do an ADA assessment of your bus stops. I cannot tell you the number of bus stops that I have found that are in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act. So, you really should get onto that. You don’t want to have a situation. And, lastly, as I said, you know, only supposed to be here for two months and here I am 42 years later. I don’t come up here and pretend to speak for anybody. Nobody elected me to do that. I am only one of 20 individuals in the entire world with my disability. More people are in this room than exist than with my disability. I’m not going to sit here. I moved from across country, Mayor, as you know. I moved from Indiana, here to Vegas. We lost our buses. The house I moved into fell through, and I had to find another place. So, I got here on Friday. I got a new house on Monday. The point is I looked in my community, where I was moving to, and I found houses around accessible routes. That’s something I need to do as a disabled person, keep in mind my needs as I look for different places. As you all know, I did serve on my regional transit authority, and I served on the finance committee as well, and I understand the decisions that you have to make. Okay, you can’t force something when you make reductions. By law you cannot do that. You cannot operate out of the service area by law, you cannot do that. So, when people come up here and say I’m speaking on behalf of people with disabilities, they’re not speaking for me. They are not speaking for me. Thank you.

**Motion:**

No motion was necessary.
Vote/Summary:
No vote was taken.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 10:02 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Marin DuBois, Recording Secretary

Marek Biernacinski, Transcription Secretary
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Fatalities by County

40
Pedestrian fatalities are up
Unrestrained occupant fatalities are down

Fatalities occurred on Nevada’s roadways so far in 2019 down from 52 in 2018

40% 62%
Southern Nevada #Snowpocalypse

- I-15, I-1, US-95 closures
- 5 plows operating with de-icers
- Multiple crashes due to snow and ice

Congressional Briefings

- Repealing 2020 Proposed Rescission
- Flexibility in freight and safety funding
- Long term, fully funded multi-modal surface transportation bill
- Support for INFRA grants
Legislative Update

- AB 7 was heard by Assembly Government Affairs on March 7
- AB 22 will be heard in Assembly Growth & Infrastructure on March 21

Project Neon Update

- 94% complete, scheduled for completion 2 months early
- $603 million total contract amount, $564 million spent

save the date

GRAND FINALE
EVENING OF MAY 16, 2019
Nevada Moves Day

- Teaching schoolchildren the value of walking and biking
- March 20 walking/biking to school events all over the state
- We encourage you to get out there
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ADA Paratransit Services RFP
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Industry RFP Review
Industry Review of Draft RFP

- Liquidated damages seem excessive and would be wrapped up in overall contract price
- Have all key personnel in place at contract commencement
- Allow alternate proposals that offer additional innovative and/or cost-saving ideas
- Supplemental data to assist with costing

Solicitation Process

- **Step 1 – Service Model Proposal**
- **Step 2 – Technical and Price Evaluation**
Step 1 – Service Model Proposal

Model 1
- ADA Paratransit Service
- Senior Transportation Services
- Non-dedicated Services

Model 2
- ADA Paratransit Service
- Senior Transportation Services
- Non-dedicated Services
- Same-day on-demand services

Model 3
- Alternate proposal containing proven service enhancements and innovations

Step 1 – Evaluation Criteria

- Management structure and key personnel
- Startup plan and operational innovation
- Staffing and personnel, employee training
- Vehicle and equipment maintenance
- Safety and security management
Step 2 – Evaluation Criteria

60% Technical
- Innovative Solutions
- Community Partner Approach
- Interviews

40% Price

Evaluation Team
Procurement Considerations

- Evaluation Criteria – Steps 1 and 2
- Contract Terms = 5 years + two 1-year renewal options

Timeline

- Issue RFP – March 2019
- RTC board approval of recommendation and contract – September 2019
- Transition period and Notice to Proceed – September 2019 to January 2020
- Contract commencement – January 2020